00 0



Full/Partial Inspection

Name of Business:

Environmental Health, 1255 High Road, Barnet House, London, N20 OEJ

Time Out:

Other:

Website: www.barnet.gov.uk Tel: 020 8359 7995 Email: foodsafety@barnet.gov.uk Fax: 0870 889 6793

Food Hygiene / Food Standards / Health & Safety Inspection Report

This inspection report covers only the areas inspected at the time of the inspection. It does not indicate compliance with any provision of the relevant food safety or health and safety law. It is not a notice requiring works to be carried out. All items marked 'L' are legal requirements and 'R' recommendations for best practice. Please note this schedule of works is NOT exhaustive but list those items which are obvious at the time of the visit. You are reminded the obligation rests with the business to ensure compliance with all legal requirements.

Time In:

Complaint

Date:

Revisit

Address: 1101 Thick is	Shoot Brandt.	7. 1. 3	1	
Telephone / mobile number / En	nail			
Registered Address (if different):	Ac Mare.			
Business Operator:		Business Type:		
Person(s) seen/interviewed:	AS ABOUR.	Position: TRO.	1 Louis Car.	
	Documents / Re			
Food Safety	Documents	Health & Safety Documents		
HACCP/FSMS/SFBB Pest control reports Cleaning Schedule Temperature records Waste transfer notes e.gOil- / Animal by products Areas inspected:	Training Records Suppliers invoices Import documents Documents Allergen Information Other Documents Advice - verbal/written Inspection report & sche Written Warning letter follow)	dule – (left on site)	☐ Maintenance Records ☐ Fork Lift Trucks ☐ Accident Records of Detention/Seizure Notice of Improvement Notice/s es closure formal/voluntary eration of legal action	
Food Hygiene Rating – Crite			Annex 5 Score	
Compliance with food hygiene and	d safety procedures	The state of the s	6) .	
Compliance with structural require	ements		10	
Confidence in management/contr				
Total Score) (3)			
Food Hygiene Rating	80			
va.			And the second s	
as the officer clearly explained to y when? Yes No specting Officer (print)	you the outcome of this inspectio	r to the Inspection Report Sched in so that you understand what yo ion: FFISO		
specting Officer (Signature):		er email:	@barnet.gov.uk	
rson seen (print):Officer telephone: 020 8359				
rson seen (signature):	A COLUMN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	146	A., 15. 1	

NOTES FOR COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES

If you wish to discuss any items relating to this inspection report, please contact the inspecting officer. If your concerns remain unresolved or you should like to discuss other matters regarding the visit, please contact the Team Leader on: 020 8359 7453. The Council's 'Complaints Procedure and Enforcement Policy' is available at: www.barnet.gov.uk

FOOD HYGIENE RATING

This authority operates the national Food Hygiene Rating Scheme. This is designed to help consumers choose where to eat out or shop for food by giving them information about the hygiene standards in food outlets at the time they are inspected. Your rating has been calculated on the basis of the standards found at the time of inspection. Your inspection report outlines the inspector's findings and highlights the priority actions and improvements that are needed to ensure that you are complying with the Food Safety & Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013.

A sticker showing your rating following your recent inspection will be given at the time of the inspection or sent in due course. You can tell your customers how good your hygiene standards are by placing the sticker in the window/door. If you do not have a suitable glass surface, you can request a wall sticker. You must remove and destroy the sticker/certificate showing your previous rating. Only one rating- the most recent rating - should be displayed. To continue to display a previous rating may constitute an offence under the Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008. Your Food Hygiene Rating will also be published on the Food Standards Agency's website at: www.food.gov.uk/ratings within three and five weeks from receiving this letter.

Safeguards

If you think that the rating is wrong or unfair - in other words it does not reflect the hygiene standards at the time of your inspection – you have 21 days in which you can appeal against this. You should appeal in writing to the Lead Officer for Food at Environmental Health, 9th Floor Barnet House, 1255 High Road, London, N20 0EJ but I would recommend that you get in touch with me first so that I can help you to understand how your rating was worked out.

If you have improved hygiene standards since your inspection, or if there were unusual circumstances at the time of the inspection that might have affected your food hygiene rating, you have a 'right to reply' so that you can explain this to potential customers that look up your rating online.

If you make the improvements to hygiene standards that are highlighted in your inspection report, you can request a revisit with a view to giving you a new and higher food hygiene rating. There will be a charge of £185 for each re-visit carried out at your request. The re-visit will be carried out within three months of receipt of your application and payment. Payment can be made card by telephoning 020 8359 7995 or by cheque made payable to London Borough of Barnet.

More information about these safeguards and the form to be completed to request a re-visit can be found on the FSA's website at: http://www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/enforcement/fhrssafeguards.pdf

How is your food hygiene rating calculated?

Total Score	0 – 15	20	25 – 30	35 – 40	45 – 50	>50
Highest Permitted Individual score	5	10	10	15	25	



NOTICE OF OUR POWERS

Under the following legislation, Food safety Act 1990, Food Safety & Hygiene Regulations 2013, Health & Safety at Work etc Act 1974 and Regulation EC No. 178/2002 an authorised Officer may at all reasonable (when open to trade) times on production of his/her identification, enter any business premises under the legislation listed below for the purpose of inspection and/or enforcement. Admissions to a private dwelling (home) shall not be demanded unless 24 hour notice of the intended entry is given to the occupier.

SUMMARY OF YOUR RIGHTS

For further details, please refer to the following:

- 1. Food law inspections and your businesses (Food Standards Agency)
- 2. What to expect when a health and safety inspector calls (Health and Safety Executive)
- 3. London Borough of Barnet Enforcement Policy

These rights, which are taken from Code B of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (Code of Practice for the Search of Premises and the Seizure of Property Found on Persons or Premises) (the 'Code'), provides a mechanism whereby you may be entitled to compensation for any damage caused as a result of the inspection.

	SCHEDULE	OF WORKS REQUIRED	TIME SCALE(
	C= CONTRAVENTION	N R= RECOMMENDATION	
C or	R		
(加	papalacheric.		
Sh	rodnue.		
3)	1) New Matrice Comme	opy available to lookern rea	ar 4 weeks
) ((3) No hot water to was cochen (temperary work hours bosine a	sorth hand born in tellbutchers solutions in place). All other was appeared and appeared	J Grocks
ì		where as unite to over.	Carrier Control of
À		eteriorated panel to walls	Awade
Confr	idence in monagement.	overants.	
)	(E) Tracoobillity lobel	bassoon shown (sou) for pull	, Irwagiat
	6 Complete outsternding	Sochens of Seler Lood	Quecka
) ('	as for Coday or Cooling o	2
) (I No Evidence of fee	aldocates training evaluable or of property to be seld to the seld of the seld	
(Allerge modern	avoidable a mose for la	Mea)
	Signature of person seen:-	Signature of Officer	Revisit
			.⊠ Yes dd (Ñ

HEALTH & SAFETY RISK RATING FORM

Confidence in Management

Rating	Descriptor
1	Best Practice: Management know the relevant bealth and safety standards, have put them into effect and check they are applied correctly. There is clear evidence of effective self-regulation with standards being maniford and refined. Full compliance with the approach listed in 185(3)(3) and/o) MAST 4SMF.
2	String evidence that management are up to the task: Management generally enthusiantic and competent with either: effective systems in place for other business processes (e.g. quality assurance) but with knowledge gaps for health and safety requirements, or good health and safety knowledge with systems requiring improvement. There is potential for good performance and reasonable compliance with the HSG365 approach auditor MAST 4SMES.
3	Some evidence immagement are up to the task: Management are knowledgrable about relevant health and safety standards but there has been little effort to adopt a proactive approach to health and safety management. However, senior managers volunteer their thoughts as the inspection progresses and appear to be committed to adopting a more proxetive approach. There is general confidence that the recommendations resulting from the inspection will be put into place.
4	Management are ambivalent about health and safety: Management have only a certain announ of knowledge of relevant stundards and there is little or no evidence that a preactive approach to ongoing health and safety armagement has been adopted. However, senior managers recognise the need to satisfy explicit statutory requirements and there is some prospect that a more preactive approach may be adopted in future. Limited involvement of workers in health and safety invanagement. There is some confidence that the recommendations resulting from the inspection will be put into place.
5	Management are not up to the task: Monagement bave significant abortcomings in their knowledge of relevant standards. Management do not appear to be willing to instigate a prosertive approach and have not recognised that health and safety is an issue where they need to be personally involved. There is uncertainty as to how they will respond to the findings from the inspection.
6	Management avoid the task anchor comive in cutting corners: There is a negative approach to accepting legal duties and management dispute the relevance or validity of recognised benchmark standards. Totally ineffective in the management of health and safety. The findings from the impection are filely to be ignored.

Health performance

Rating	Descriptor
ŀ	High standards of compliance. Some aspects meet best practice as outlined in industry standards, ACOP's etc
2	Good standards. Minimum legal requirements have been met but little evidence that elements of best practice have been adopted.
3	One or more minor shortcomings. Minimum legal requirements could be met with a little more effort. Since these shortcomings are not serious, they can be dealt with informally via a verbal warning and/or provision of advice.
4	Standards are variable but lower than the benchmark standard. It is necessary to address one or more shortcomings (which are not minor) by giving formal lastructions for remedial action to be taken e.g. sending a warning letter.
5	Standards generally unsatisfactory. There is at least one contravention that gives rise to either a substantial or extreme risk gap and formal intervention (i.e. Notice) is required to achieve improvement in standards. Risks are not being adequately controlled.
6	Standards unacceptable. A distegard for expected standards and/or significant breaches has been observed audior could be expected. Extreme risk gap present us defined by EMM. Unless application of the EMM identifies duty holder factors that provide strong miligation, issuing a notice or prosecution is likely to be appropriate.

Safety Performance

Rating	Descriptor
1	High standards of compliance. Some aspects meet best practice as cullined in industry standards, Approved Codes Of Practice (ACOPs) etc
2	Good standards. Minimum legal requirements have been met but little evidence that elements of best practice have been adopted.
3	One or more minor shortcomings. Minimum legal requirements could be met with a little more effort. Since these shortcomings are not serious, they can be dealt with informally via a verbal warning and/or provision of advice.
4	Standards are variable but lower than the benchmark standard. It is necessary to address one or more shortcomings (which are not inlinor) by giving formal instructions for remedial action to be taken e.g. sending a letter.
5	Standards generally ensurisfactory. There is at least one contravention that gives tise to either a substantial or extreme risk gap (as defined by EMM). Formal intervention is required to achieve improvement in standards e.g. Improvement Notices. Risks are not being adequately controlled.
6	Standards unacceptable, A disregard for expected standards and/or significant breaches has been observed and/or could be expected. Extreme risk gap present as defined by EMM. Unless application of the EMM identifies duty holder factors that provide strong mitigation, issuing a notice or prosecution is likely to be appropriate.

Welfare Compliance

Store	State of compliance	Descriptor
1	Compliance	Good, clean, suitable and safficient provision of welfare facilities. Would be content to use them yourself.
2	Minor non- compliance	Welfare facilities need eleaning, temporary absence of consumables such as soap or towets.
3	Inadequate provision	Inadequate or dirty welfare facilities. Inadequate rest facilities. No heated water or 100 few toilets.
4	Major non- complance	Welfare facilities not present or so poor as to be unfit for use. No tollet or washing facilities.

New Category Ruling Criteria

Category	Rating Score
A	Score of 5 or 6 on any risk
Bi	Score of 4 on any risk
192	Score of 3 on any risk
С	No score greater than 2

Previous risk rating:-		