#### Mclean, Josh

From: Mclean, Josh

**Sent:** 09 October 2020 13:37

To: Stuart Minty

Subject: RE: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road - EMAIL 1

Stuart,

Sorry I'm bombarding you with emails. Could we make it 3pm. Sorry realised someone has booked a meeting for me between 2-3pm.

Josh

From: Stuart Minty [mailto:Stuart@smplanning.com]

**Sent:** 09 October 2020 13:05

To: Mclean, Josh < Josh. Mclean@Barnet.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road - EMAIL 1

No worries Josh. Can I call you at 2.30pm / 3pm?

Stuart

From: Mclean, Josh < <a href="mailto:Josh.Mclean@Barnet.gov.uk">Josh.Mclean@Barnet.gov.uk</a>>

Sent: 09 October 2020 12:50

To: Stuart Minty < <a href="mailto:Stuart@smplanning.com">Stuart@smplanning.com</a>>

Subject: RE: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road - EMAIL 1

Hi Stuart,

I confirm receipt of 4 emails with the attached information. These have been uploaded to the file.

Just checking you received my email early this morning. I'll need to discuss the committee dates with you again – sorry.

Josh

Josh McLean MRTPI
Planning Manager
Planning and Building Control
2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW

Tel: 0208 359 6039 | Barnet Online: www.barnet.gov.uk

Please note that the comments are provisional and represent an informal view by an officer; the views contained within this email do not constitute an official determination, are not legally binding and do not bind the council to a particular course of action.

From: Stuart Minty [mailto:Stuart@smplanning.com]

Sent: 09 October 2020 12:14

To: Mclean, Josh < <u>Josh.Mclean@Barnet.gov.uk</u>>
Subject: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road - EMAIL 1

Hi Josh,

As discussed yesterday, please find attached additional/amended documents that need to be added to the file. My sincere apologies for the staggered nature of the submission.

Given the size of the attachments I have split the following into four emails.

#### **Superseded plans/Documents:**

17-26: Proposed plans and Tree Constraints Plan

D2: Planning Statement

#### Amended/Additional Plans/Docs attached:

17-26: Proposed Plans

27: Proposed Elevations

28: Proposed Sections

29: Schedule of Accommodation

30-37: Area Plans

38: Drawing Issue Sheet

39: Tree Constraints Plan

D1: Design & Access Statement

D2a: Planning Statement

D14: Arboricultural Impact Assessment

D15: Landscaping inc. Planting Diagram (to follow – file too Large so being condensed)

D16: CMP

D17: CMP Layout Plan D18: Waste & Refuse Plan

D19a: Daylight & Sunlight Report Part 1 D19b: Daylight & Sunlight Report Part 2 D19c: Daylight & Sunlight Report Part 3

In addition to the above, we are expecting to amend the application form (just the site ownership certificate – should be cert B) and this should be with you by the end of the day.

Many thanks for your continued assistance.

Stuart

#### Stuart Minty Director

M: 07900 413080 DDI: 0207 692 0643



80-83 Long Lane London, EC1A 9ET www.smplanning.com

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future. SM Planning Services Limited puts the security of the client at a high priority. Therefore, we have put efforts into ensuring that the message is error and virus-free.

This email and any attachments to it are intended solely for the individual to whom it is addressed. It may contain sensitive or confidential material and should be handled accordingly. However, it is recognised that, as an intended recipient of this email, you may wish to share it with those who have a legitimate interest in the contents.

If you have received this email in error and you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose, distribute, copy or print any of the information contained or attached within it, all copies must be deleted from your system. Please notify the sender immediately.

Whilst we take reasonable steps to identify software viruses, any attachments to this email may contain viruses which our anti-virus software has failed to identify. No liability can be accepted, and you should therefore carry out your own anti-virus checks before opening any documents.

Please note: Information contained in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004.

This message has been scanned by Exchange Online Protection.

#### Mclean, Josh

From: Stuart Minty <Stuart@smplanning.com>

**Sent:** 09 October 2020 08:30

To: Mclean, Josh

Cc:

**Subject:** Signed PPA - 84 WHR

Attachments: PPA 84 - 86 West Heath Road - Ready For Signiture Version.docx; PPA 84 - 86 West

Heath Road - Ready For Signiture Version.pdf; image2020-10-08-070158.pdf

Hi Josh

Please see attached final versions of PPA in both word and ODF. Anil has signed – see third attachment.

Grateful if you could arrange for signature, and then we can finalise

Also let me know on the BACS details once you have these

Many thanks

Stuart

# Stuart Minty Director

M: 07900 413080 DDI: 0207 692 0643



80-83 Long Lane London, EC1A 9ET www.smplanning.com

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future. SM Planning Services Limited puts the security of the client at a high priority. Therefore, we have put efforts into ensuring that the message is error and virus-free.

# **Small Scale Major Application Planning Performance Agreement**

In respect of:

84-86 West Heath Road, London, NW3 7UJ

[Application reference number: 20/4748/FUL]

This Agreement is made between

The London Borough of Barnet [The Council], 2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW and

Harrison Varma Projects Ltd [The Applicant], Unit 1 South Oxgate Centre, Oxgate Lane, London, NW2 7JA

On 12.10.2020.

#### 1 Introduction and General Scope of the Agreement

- 1.1 This Planning Performance Agreement is made pursuant to the powers contained within section 111 of the Local Government Act 1972, section 2 of the Local Government Act 2000, section 93 of the Local Government Act 2003 and section 1 of the Localism Act 2011.
- 1.2 The London Borough of Barnet (LBB) is the Local Planning Authority for development within the area in which the development site is located.
- 1.3 The Applicant is Harrison Varma Projects Ltd.
- 1.4 The Site's Address is 84-86 West Heath Road, London, NW3 7UJ.
- 1.5 The Proposed Development is: "Full demolition of the existing building (Use Class C2) and the construction of a new building of 7 storeys (5 above ground) to accommodate residential accommodation (Use Class C3) comprising of 45 apartments with basement car parking, associated communal areas, amenity space, refuse/recycling storage and cycle storage. Provision of 55 off-street parking spaces within the basement and 11 further spaces above ground.
- 1.6 The Applicant and the Council agree to enter into this Planning Performance Agreement (PPA) to provide a project management framework and agree a timetable containing milestones that establish the level of resources and actions required to ensure that all key planning issues are properly considered and resolved (the "Application Programme").
- 1.7 The Applicant and the Council agree to enter into this PPA to cover the planning application stage. The fees chargeable under the terms of this Agreement relate solely to that part of the work that relates to the processing of the planning application.
- 1.8 The Applicant and The Council recognise that the Development will be accompanied by a number of Application Documents and will give rise to a range of planning issues, and

accordingly, they acknowledge that in order to properly assess those planning issues the PPA does not anticipate determination of the Planning Applications within the 13 week statutory target date or within any amendment thereto. Nevertheless, both parties wish to ensure that the application(s) is/are considered in a timely manner and as expeditiously as is practicable, having regard to the timetable set out in this PPA and compliance with relevant statutory procedures. An agreed list of documents required to validate the planning application is appended at Appendix D of this document.

- 1.9 This agreement does not give a guarantee of planning permission. It relates to the process of considering development proposals and not the decision itself. Nothing in this agreement shall restrict or inhibit the Applicant(s) from exercising their right of appeal under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
- 1.10 The Applicant agrees that despite positive collaboration and recommendation to approve the application, Members might refuse permission and overturn a recommendation.

#### 2 Term

- 2.1 This agreement will come into force on the date hereof and shall remain in force until the earlier of:
  - **2.1.1** The date a planning decision is issued on the Planning Application;
  - **2.1.2** The date that the Applicant submits an appeal under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 in relation to the application (for whatever reason);
  - **2.1.3** Any of the applications are called in by the Secretary of State, or taken over by the Mayor of London; or

#### 3 Amendment and Review

3.1 This PPA shall be subject to review as may be agreed between the Council and the Developer and any agreed variation of the terms shall be evidenced in writing signed by both parties

#### 4 Definitions

- 4.1 "Agreement" means this Planning Performance Agreement between the Council and the Applicant.
- 4.2 "Application Documents" means the documents listed in Appendix D or as otherwise agreed between the Council and the Applicant.
- 4.3 "Development" means the proposed development as set out in paragraph 1.5.
- 4.4 "Parties" means the Council and the Applicant.
- 4.5 "Planning Application" means an application or applications for the Development.
- 4.6 "Application Programme" means the programme set out in Appendix C of this Agreement
- 4.7 "Re" means Regional Enterprise Limited, the joint venture between the London Borough of Barnet and Capita (Registered in England 08615172 at 17 Rochester Row, London, England SW1P 1QT) to run the development and regulatory services of the Council from 1st October 2013

- 4.8 "Service Standards" means the service standards set out in Appendix B.
- 4.9 "Services" means activities and advice provided by the Council using Re to deliver including town and country planning, design and support services pursuant to this Agreement.
- 4.10 "The Site" means the Site Address set out in paragraph 1.4.
- 4.11 "Working Days" means a day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or a Bank Holiday

### 5 Objectives

- 5.1 The objectives of this PPA are to:
  - **5.1.1** Agree requirements and timescales including meetings, proposed submission and determination deadlines in respect of the Planning Application;
  - **5.1.2** Set out agreed timeframes for a response from the Applicant and the Council's Project Teams when actions are raised during the project;
  - **5.1.3** To establish a regular review mechanism to enable the effective project management of the Planning Application.

#### 6 The Project Team

- 6.1 The names and contact details for the members of the project team for the Applicant and the Council are set out in Appendix A to this Agreement.
- 6.2 In the event that membership of either parties project team changes, the contact details shall be updated at the first possible meeting.

### 7 The Application Programme

- 7.1 The Application Programme is set out in Appendix B of this Agreement. The Parties recognise that there are any number of issues that might arise that may have an impact on the Application Programme. The parties shall use their reasonable endeavours to ensure that the Application is progressed in accordance with the Project Programme. However, should any delays be incurred, then the parties shall discuss and review the Application Programme to identify what targets and timescales may need to be changed.
- 7.2 The Application Programme is devised to provide a realistic timeframe for determining the planning application. The Applicant and the Council acknowledge that the timetable may be subject to change which will be kept under review moving forward.
- 7.3 In agreeing to this timeframe, the Applicant and the Council agree to extend the statutory period for determination of the planning application under Article 29 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2010.
- 7.4 The Applicant further agrees not to request the return of the planning application fee.

- 7.5 If there is a delay in the Application Programme, the Project Team will review whether the Application Programme is still realistic or whether the Application Programme and the Planning Performance Agreement determination timeframe need to be revised. Any revisions to the Planning Performance Agreement determination timeframe shall be agreed in writing by the Applicant and the Council.
- 7.6 In terms of committee dates the agreed committee date is January 2021 where the application will be reported to the soonest available Planning Committee (A, B or C). As a fallback, and should circumstances arise where this date is not possible, the Council shall, by agreement with the applicant, move to a fallback date to February 2021.
- 7.7 In the event that the application does not require consideration of the planning committee, then a delegated decision will be reached WC 14/12/2020

#### 8 Service Standards

- 8.1 The Council and the Applicant agree to use their reasonable endeavours to work in co-operation at all times in accordance with the Service Standards contained in Appendix C.
- 8.2 The Council and the Applicant agree to act with the utmost fairness and good faith towards each other in respect of all matters in respect of the handling of the pre-application and or planning application for the Development and to work jointly with each other in complying with their respective obligations under this Agreement.
- 8.3 The Council and the Applicant agree to work together as a team and in good faith, and to respect each others' interests and confidentiality.
- The Council and the Applicant agree to be transparent and consistent at all times between all parties so that outcomes are anticipated, defined and understood.
- 8.5 The Council and the Applicant agree to seek to use the period prior to the determination of the application to address matters that would otherwise arise via planning conditions, and reduce the level of potential conditions, particularly in respect to those preventing commencement of works.

#### 9 The Council's Dedicated Planning Officer

- 9.1 Without prejudice to its other obligations, the Council shall designate a Dedicated Planning Officer through Re to be the planning officer who alone or as part of the Project Team shall be responsible for overseeing or carrying out the Council's obligations in accordance with this Agreement and for the Development.
- 9.2 The Council shall designate a Dedicated Planning Officer who has the relevant experience of a type and scale required in order to handle the tasks covered in the Agreement
- 9.3 If the Dedicated Planning Officer should become unavailable during the lifetime of this Agreement for whatever reason, the Council shall designate an alternate sufficiently experienced planning officer to be the dedicated to and responsible for overseeing or carrying out the Council's obligations in accordance with this Agreement and for the Development.

#### 10 Freedom of Information

- 10.1 The Developer acknowledges that the Council may be required under the FOIA and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 or the Local Government Acts to disclose Information concerning the Developer or this PPA (including commercially sensitive information) without consulting or obtaining consent from the Developer. In these circumstances the Council shall, in accordance with any relevant guidance issued under the FOIA, take reasonable steps, where appropriate, to give the Developer advance notice, or failing that, to draw the disclosure to the Developer's attention after any such disclosure.
- 10.2 Notwithstanding any other provision in this PPA, the Council shall be responsible for determining in its absolute discretion whether any Information relating to the Developer or this PPA is exempt from disclosure in accordance with the FOIA and/or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 or the Local Government Acts.

#### 11 The Developer's Obligations on Funding and Resource

- 11.1 In consideration of the supply of the services referred to in this Agreement the Applicant agrees to pay to the Council a payment of £6,979.84 (excluding VAT) upon completion of this agreement to assist the Council in providing the level of service required to meet its obligations in respect of the work under this Planning Performance Agreement.
- 11.2 The applicant agrees to pay on a case by case basis reasonable costs which may be incurred with the appointment of external consultants (such as Independent Financial Viability Consultants) to progress the planning application. It has been agreed with both parties that Gerald Eve will review the financial viability assessment on behalf of the Council. All appointments whose costs are covered by the Applicant will be by mutual agreement, with the Council obtaining quotes and issuing these to the Applicant prior to appointment.
- 11.3 The Council will instruct their legal services team within two weeks of registration of the application, to ensure the matter does not become protracted and elongate the process following any resolution. The application will provide draft heads of terms with the submission.
- 11.4 The applicant agrees to pay the Council's reasonable legal costs incurred in association with the preparation of the S106 Agreement.
- 11.5 This fee is in addition to the application fees payable under the Town and Country Planning (Fees for Applications and Deemed Applications) (Amendment) (England) Regulations 2008.

### 12 Agreement

12.1 The London Borough of Barnet and the Applicant hereby agree to the content of this Planning Performance Agreement.

### **London Borough of Barnet**

Name	Fabien Gaudin
Position	Service Director Planning and Building Control
Signature	£.6.
Date	18.01.2021

### The Applicant

Name	Anil Varma
Position	Director
Signature	
Date	

## Appendix A - The Project Team

### The Council's Team:

Name (Position)	Contact Details
Name: Josh McLean	Address: 2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW
Planning Manager	
	Phone number: 020 8359 6039
	Email Address: josh.mclean@barnet.gov.uk
Name: Fabien Gaudin	Address: 2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW
Service Director - Planning and Building	See Control Co
Control	Phone number: 020 8359 4258
	Email Address: <a href="mailto:fabien.gaudin@barnet.gov.uk">fabien.gaudin@barnet.gov.uk</a>

# The Applicant's Team:

Name (Position)	Contact Details
Andy Goodchild	Director
Project Architects	Wolff Architects
	0207 229 3125 agoodchild@wolffarchitects.co.uk
Stuart Minty	Director
Planning Consultant	SM Planning
	0207 692 0643 stuart@smplanning.com

#### Appendix B – Service Standards

#### The Council's Service Standards:

- Comply with and facilitate the compliance by the Applicant with the Application Programme set out in Appendix C.
- Provide professional advice as required in relation to matters including but not exclusively limited to planning, design, trees and landscaping.
- Respond substantively to all urgent emails, letters and telephone calls within 2 Working Days
  of receipt. Where circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the Council prevent its
  compliance with this service standard, the Council shall in each case notify the Applicant of
  such circumstances forthwith and the Council shall endeavour to respond substantively no
  later than 10 Working Days after receipt of any communication.
- Notify the Applicant no later than 5 Working Days prior to any meeting of the Council's Planning Committee at which any report or matter relevant to the Development will be discussed and or considered and to provide the Applicant with a copy of any report to the Planning Committee at that time including for the avoidance of doubt any officer's report and/or recommendation.
- Provide to the Applicant at least 5 Working Days prior to any meeting all substantive and relevant documents which are relevant to that meeting and which relate to any relevant action or agenda points identified. These will be provided in electronic format.

#### The Applicant's Service Standards:

- To use reasonable endeavours to comply with and facilitate the compliance by the Council with the Application Programme set out in Appendix C.
- To provide to the Council at least 5 Working Days prior to any meeting all substantive and relevant documents which are relevant to that meeting and which relate to any relevant action points or agenda identified.
- To provide the Council within 3 Working Days of any meetings the action points arising from that meeting.
- To provide the Council with such additional information as may be requested within 5
  Working Days of such written request from the Council (or such other time period as may
  be agreed) in order to enable the Council to discharge its Functions under this Agreement.
- To use their reasonable endeavours to address any concerns raised by any Consultee
- To submit to the Council the Application Documents for the Planning Application, subject to agreement of planning deliverables with the Council.

# Appendix C – Application Programme

Date – w/c	Processing of application	Responsibility	Indicative date
Week 1	Submission of application in accordance with pre- validation checklist meeting	Developer	W/C 12/10
Within 2 days of submission	<ol> <li>Registration of application</li> <li>Validation of the Planning Application</li> <li>Identification of consultation process including neighbours, statutory consultees and publications</li> </ol>	Dedicated Planning Officer Vetting team	W/C 12/10
Within 2 weeks of submission	Councils Planning Officer to Instruct Legal Services	Dedicated Planning Officer	
Week 1 - 6	Statutory Consultation period	Dedicated Planning Officer	W/C 12/10 - 16/11
Week 5	Consultation review  1) Evaluation of responses 2) Share with applicant 3) Agree amended plans if necessary	Dedicated Planning Officer  Developer	W/C 09/11
Week 6	Receipt and evaluation of consultancy reports	Dedicated Planning Officer	16/11
Week 7 – 8	Further consultation exercise (14 day) if required	Dedicated Planning Officer	23/11 – 30/11
Week 7 – 8	Roundtable / Workshop  1) To include evaluation of consultant report 2) To evaluate Heads of Terms 3) To consider the status of the application	Planning Officer/Developer	23/11 – 30/11

	Report Stage		
Date – w/c	Phase	Responsibility	Indicative date
Week 6 - 13	Preparation of report to Planning Committee	Dedicated Planning Officer	16/11
Week 9 - 11	Planning Conditions to Developer for comment	Dedicated Planning Officer Developer	07/12 – 21/12
Week 10	If the application does not require consideration of the planning committee, then a delegated decision will be reached	Dedicated Planning Officer	14/12
Week 12 (dependent on committee cycle)	Sign off, submission and presentation of Report to Planning Committee A, B or C (January 2021)	Dedicated Planning Officer	28/12
Week 4 – 12	Section 106 and legals  1) Negotiations	Dedicated Planning Officer  LBB's legal representative / Developer	02/11 – 28/12

Issue of Planning Per	Issue of Planning Permission (where appropriate)	
Signing of S106 agreer	ment LBL's legal	
and Issue of Planning	representative/	
Permission	Developer / Planning	
	Officer	

## Appendix D - Planning Application Documents

The Planning Application deliverables to be agreed with LBB

	Planning Application Deliverables		
1	Planning Application forms / Certificates / CIL / Covering letter		
2	Red Line Plan / Site Location Plan		
3	Planning Application fee		
4	Drawings		
5	Planning Statement		
6	Design & Access Statement		
7	Affordable Housing Viability Report		
8	Ecological Report		
9	Tree Survey / Arboricultural Report		
10	Tree Protection Plan		
11	Landscape Masterplan		
12	Transport Assessment		
13	Demolition and Construction and Logistics Plan		
14	Flood Risk Assessment		
15	Sustainable Drainage Assessment		
16	Ground Investigation / Contamination Report		
17	Air Quality Assessment		
18	Noise Impact Assessment		
19	Daylight and Sunlight Report		
20	Refuse and Waste Management Plan		
21	Energy / Sustainability Statement		
22	Statement of Community Involvement		

# **Small Scale Major Application Planning Performance Agreement**

In respect of:

84-86 West Heath Road, London, NW3 7UJ

[Application reference number: 20/4748/FUL]

This Agreement is made between

The London Borough of Barnet [The Council], 2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW and

Harrison Varma Projects Ltd [The Applicant], Unit 1 South Oxgate Centre, Oxgate Lane, London, NW2 7JA

On [Date]

#### 1 <u>Introduction and General Scope of the Agreement</u>

- 1.1 This Planning Performance Agreement is made pursuant to the powers contained within section 111 of the Local Government Act 1972, section 2 of the Local Government Act 2000, section 93 of the Local Government Act 2003 and section 1 of the Localism Act 2011.
- 1.2 The London Borough of Barnet (LBB) is the Local Planning Authority for development within the area in which the development site is located.
- 1.3 The Applicant is Harrison Varma Projects Ltd.
- 1.4 The Site's Address is 84-86 West Heath Road, London, NW3 7UJ.
- 1.5 The Proposed Development is: "Full demolition of the existing building (Use Class C2) and the construction of a new building of 7 storeys (5 above ground) to accommodate residential accommodation (Use Class C3) comprising of 45 apartments with basement car parking, associated communal areas, amenity space, refuse/recycling storage and cycle storage. Provision of 55 off-street parking spaces within the basement and 11 further spaces above ground.
- 1.6 The Applicant and the Council agree to enter into this Planning Performance Agreement (PPA) to provide a project management framework and agree a timetable containing milestones that establish the level of resources and actions required to ensure that all key planning issues are properly considered and resolved (the "Application Programme").
- 1.7 The Applicant and the Council agree to enter into this PPA to cover the planning application stage. The fees chargeable under the terms of this Agreement relate solely to that part of the work that relates to the processing of the planning application.
- 1.8 The Applicant and The Council recognise that the Development will be accompanied by a number of Application Documents and will give rise to a range of planning issues, and

accordingly, they acknowledge that in order to properly assess those planning issues the PPA does not anticipate determination of the Planning Applications within the 13 week statutory target date or within any amendment thereto. Nevertheless, both parties wish to ensure that the application(s) is/are considered in a timely manner and as expeditiously as is practicable, having regard to the timetable set out in this PPA and compliance with relevant statutory procedures. An agreed list of documents required to validate the planning application is appended at Appendix D of this document.

- 1.9 This agreement does not give a guarantee of planning permission. It relates to the process of considering development proposals and not the decision itself. Nothing in this agreement shall restrict or inhibit the Applicant(s) from exercising their right of appeal under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
- 1.10 The Applicant agrees that despite positive collaboration and recommendation to approve the application, Members might refuse permission and overturn a recommendation.

#### 2 Term

- 2.1 This agreement will come into force on the date hereof and shall remain in force until the earlier of:
  - **2.1.1** The date a planning decision is issued on the Planning Application;
  - **2.1.2** The date that the Applicant submits an appeal under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 in relation to the application (for whatever reason);
  - **2.1.3** Any of the applications are called in by the Secretary of State, or taken over by the Mayor of London; or

#### 3 Amendment and Review

3.1 This PPA shall be subject to review as may be agreed between the Council and the Developer and any agreed variation of the terms shall be evidenced in writing signed by both parties

#### 4 Definitions

- 4.1 "Agreement" means this Planning Performance Agreement between the Council and the Applicant.
- 4.2 "Application Documents" means the documents listed in Appendix D or as otherwise agreed between the Council and the Applicant.
- 4.3 "Development" means the proposed development as set out in paragraph 1.5.
- 4.4 "Parties" means the Council and the Applicant.
- 4.5 "Planning Application" means an application or applications for the Development.
- 4.6 "Application Programme" means the programme set out in Appendix C of this Agreement
- 4.7 "Re" means Regional Enterprise Limited, the joint venture between the London Borough of Barnet and Capita (Registered in England 08615172 at 17 Rochester Row, London, England SW1P 1QT) to run the development and regulatory services of the Council from 1st October 2013

- 4.8 "Service Standards" means the service standards set out in Appendix B.
- 4.9 "Services" means activities and advice provided by the Council using Re to deliver including town and country planning, design and support services pursuant to this Agreement.
- 4.10 "The Site" means the Site Address set out in paragraph 1.4.
- 4.11 "Working Days" means a day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or a Bank Holiday

### 5 Objectives

- 5.1 The objectives of this PPA are to:
  - **5.1.1** Agree requirements and timescales including meetings, proposed submission and determination deadlines in respect of the Planning Application;
  - **5.1.2** Set out agreed timeframes for a response from the Applicant and the Council's Project Teams when actions are raised during the project;
  - **5.1.3** To establish a regular review mechanism to enable the effective project management of the Planning Application.

#### 6 The Project Team

- 6.1 The names and contact details for the members of the project team for the Applicant and the Council are set out in Appendix A to this Agreement.
- 6.2 In the event that membership of either parties project team changes, the contact details shall be updated at the first possible meeting.

#### 7 <u>The Application Programme</u>

- 7.1 The Application Programme is set out in Appendix B of this Agreement. The Parties recognise that there are any number of issues that might arise that may have an impact on the Application Programme. The parties shall use their reasonable endeavours to ensure that the Application is progressed in accordance with the Project Programme. However, should any delays be incurred, then the parties shall discuss and review the Application Programme to identify what targets and timescales may need to be changed.
- 7.2 The Application Programme is devised to provide a realistic timeframe for determining the planning application. The Applicant and the Council acknowledge that the timetable may be subject to change which will be kept under review moving forward.
- 7.3 In agreeing to this timeframe, the Applicant and the Council agree to extend the statutory period for determination of the planning application under Article 29 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2010.
- 7.4 The Applicant further agrees not to request the return of the planning application fee.

- 7.5 If there is a delay in the Application Programme, the Project Team will review whether the Application Programme is still realistic or whether the Application Programme and the Planning Performance Agreement determination timeframe need to be revised. Any revisions to the Planning Performance Agreement determination timeframe shall be agreed in writing by the Applicant and the Council.
- 7.6 In terms of committee dates the agreed committee date is 5<sup>th</sup> January 2021 where the application will be reported to Finchley and Golders Green Area Planning Committee. As a fallback, and should circumstances arise where this date is not possible, the Council shall, by agreement with the applicant, move to a fallback date of 9<sup>th</sup> February 2021.
- 7.7 In the event that the application does not require consideration of the area planning committee, then a delegated decision will be reached WC 14/12/2020

#### 8 Service Standards

- 8.1 The Council and the Applicant agree to use their reasonable endeavours to work in co-operation at all times in accordance with the Service Standards contained in Appendix C.
- 8.2 The Council and the Applicant agree to act with the utmost fairness and good faith towards each other in respect of all matters in respect of the handling of the pre-application and or planning application for the Development and to work jointly with each other in complying with their respective obligations under this Agreement.
- 8.3 The Council and the Applicant agree to work together as a team and in good faith, and to respect each others' interests and confidentiality.
- The Council and the Applicant agree to be transparent and consistent at all times between all parties so that outcomes are anticipated, defined and understood.
- 8.5 The Council and the Applicant agree to seek to use the period prior to the determination of the application to address matters that would otherwise arise via planning conditions, and reduce the level of potential conditions, particularly in respect to those preventing commencement of works.

#### 9 The Council's Dedicated Planning Officer

- 9.1 Without prejudice to its other obligations, the Council shall designate a Dedicated Planning Officer through Re to be the planning officer who alone or as part of the Project Team shall be responsible for overseeing or carrying out the Council's obligations in accordance with this Agreement and for the Development.
- 9.2 The Council shall designate a Dedicated Planning Officer who has the relevant experience of a type and scale required in order to handle the tasks covered in the Agreement
- 9.3 If the Dedicated Planning Officer should become unavailable during the lifetime of this Agreement for whatever reason, the Council shall designate an alternate sufficiently experienced planning officer to be the dedicated to and responsible for overseeing or carrying out the Council's obligations in accordance with this Agreement and for the Development.

#### 10 Freedom of Information

- 10.1 The Developer acknowledges that the Council may be required under the FOIA and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 or the Local Government Acts to disclose Information concerning the Developer or this PPA (including commercially sensitive information) without consulting or obtaining consent from the Developer. In these circumstances the Council shall, in accordance with any relevant guidance issued under the FOIA, take reasonable steps, where appropriate, to give the Developer advance notice, or failing that, to draw the disclosure to the Developer's attention after any such disclosure.
- 10.2 Notwithstanding any other provision in this PPA, the Council shall be responsible for determining in its absolute discretion whether any Information relating to the Developer or this PPA is exempt from disclosure in accordance with the FOIA and/or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 or the Local Government Acts.

#### 11 <u>The Developer's Obligations on Funding and Resource</u>

- 11.1 In consideration of the supply of the services referred to in this Agreement the Applicant agrees to pay to the Council a payment of £6,979.84 (excluding VAT) upon completion of this agreement to assist the Council in providing the level of service required to meet its obligations in respect of the work under this Planning Performance Agreement.
- 11.2 The applicant agrees to pay on a case by case basis reasonable costs which may be incurred with the appointment of external consultants (such as Independent Financial Viability Consultants) to progress the planning application. It has been agreed with both parties that Gerald Eve will review the financial viability assessment on behalf of the Council. All appointments whose costs are covered by the Applicant will be by mutual agreement, with the Council obtaining quotes and issuing these to the Applicant prior to appointment.
- 11.3 The Council will instruct their legal services team within two weeks of registration of the application, to ensure the matter does not become protracted and elongate the process following any resolution. The application will provide draft heads of terms with the submission.
- 11.4 The applicant agrees to pay the Council's reasonable legal costs incurred in association with the preparation of the S106 Agreement.
- 11.5 This fee is in addition to the application fees payable under the Town and Country Planning (Fees for Applications and Deemed Applications) (Amendment) (England) Regulations 2008.

### 12 Agreement

12.1 The London Borough of Barnet and the Applicant hereby agree to the content of this Planning Performance Agreement.

## **London Borough of Barnet**

Name	Fabien Gaudin
Position	Service Director Planning and Building Control
Signature	
Date	

## The Applicant

Name	Anil Varma
Position	Director
Signature	
Date	

## Appendix A - The Project Team

### The Council's Team:

Name (Position)	Contact Details
Name: Josh McLean Planning Manager	Address: 2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW
	Phone number: 020 8359 6039
	Email Address: josh.mclean@barnet.gov.uk
Name: Fabien Gaudin Service Director – Planning and Building	Address: 2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW
Control	Phone number: 020 8359 4258
	Email Address: fabien.gaudin@barnet.gov.uk

# The Applicant's Team:

Name (Position)	Contact Details
Andy Goodchild	Director
Project Architects	Wolff Architects
	0207 229 3125 agoodchild@wolffarchitects.co.uk
Stuart Minty	Director
Planning Consultant	SM Planning
	0207 692 0643
	stuart@smplanning.com

#### Appendix B - Service Standards

#### The Council's Service Standards:

- Comply with and facilitate the compliance by the Applicant with the Application Programme set out in Appendix C.
- Provide professional advice as required in relation to matters including but not exclusively limited to planning, design, trees and landscaping.
- Respond substantively to all urgent emails, letters and telephone calls within 2 Working Days
  of receipt. Where circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the Council prevent its
  compliance with this service standard, the Council shall in each case notify the Applicant of
  such circumstances forthwith and the Council shall endeavour to respond substantively no
  later than 10 Working Days after receipt of any communication.
- Notify the Applicant no later than 5 Working Days prior to any meeting of the Council's Planning Committee at which any report or matter relevant to the Development will be discussed and or considered and to provide the Applicant with a copy of any report to the Planning Committee at that time including for the avoidance of doubt any officer's report and/or recommendation.
- Provide to the Applicant at least 5 Working Days prior to any meeting all substantive and relevant documents which are relevant to that meeting and which relate to any relevant action or agenda points identified. These will be provided in electronic format.

#### The Applicant's Service Standards:

- To use reasonable endeavours to comply with and facilitate the compliance by the Council with the Application Programme set out in Appendix C.
- To provide to the Council at least 5 Working Days prior to any meeting all substantive and relevant documents which are relevant to that meeting and which relate to any relevant action points or agenda identified.
- To provide the Council within 3 Working Days of any meetings the action points arising from that meeting.
- To provide the Council with such additional information as may be requested within 5
  Working Days of such written request from the Council (or such other time period as may
  be agreed) in order to enable the Council to discharge its Functions under this Agreement.
- To use their reasonable endeavours to address any concerns raised by any Consultee
- To submit to the Council the Application Documents for the Planning Application, subject to agreement of planning deliverables with the Council.

## Appendix C - Application Programme

Date – w/c	Processing of application	Responsibility	Indicative date
Week 1	Submission of application in accordance with pre- validation checklist meeting	Developer	W/C 05/10
Within 2 days of submission	<ol> <li>Registration of application</li> <li>Validation of the Planning Application</li> <li>Identification of consultation process including neighbours, statutory consultees and publications</li> </ol>	Dedicated Planning Officer Vetting team	W/C 05/10
Within 2 weeks of submission	Councils Planning Officer to Instruct Legal Services	Dedicated Planning Officer	
Week 1 - 4	Statutory Consultation period	Dedicated Planning Officer	W/C 05/10 - 02/11
Week 5	1) Evaluation of responses 2) Share with applicant 3) Agree amended plans if necessary	Dedicated Planning Officer  Developer	W/C 02/11
Week 6	Receipt and evaluation of consultancy reports	Dedicated Planning Officer	09/11
Week 7 – 8	Further consultation exercise (14 day) if required	Dedicated Planning Officer	16/11 – 30/11
Week 7 – 8	Roundtable / Workshop     To include evaluation of consultant report     To evaluate Heads of Terms     To consider the status of the application	Planning Officer/Developer	16/11 – 30/11

	Report Stage		
Date – w/c	Phase	Responsibility	Indicative date
Week 6 - 13	Preparation of report to Planning Committee	Dedicated Planning Officer	09/11
Week 9 - 11	Planning Conditions to Developer for comment	Dedicated Planning Officer Developer	07/12 – 21/12
Week 10	If the application does not require consideration of the planning committee, then a delegated decision will be reached	Dedicated Planning Officer	14/12
Week 12 (dependent on committee cycle)	Sign off, submission and presentation of Report to Planning Committee (13th January 2021)	Dedicated Planning Officer	28/12
Week 4 – 12	Section 106 and legals  1) Negotiations	Dedicated Planning Officer  LBB's legal representative / Developer	02/11 – 28/12

Issue of Planning Permiss	ion (where appropriate)
Signing of S106 agreement	LBL's legal
and Issue of Planning	representative/
Permission	Developer / Planning
	Officer

## Appendix D - Planning Application Documents

The Planning Application deliverables to be agreed with LBB

	Planning Application Deliverables
1	Planning Application forms / Certificates / CIL / Covering letter
2	Red Line Plan / Site Location Plan
3	Planning Application fee
4	Drawings
5	Planning Statement
6	Design & Access Statement
7	Affordable Housing Viability Report
8	Ecological Report
9	Tree Survey / Arboricultural Report
10	Tree Protection Plan
11	Landscape Masterplan
12	Transport Assessment
13	Demolition and Construction and Logistics Plan
14	Flood Risk Assessment
15	Sustainable Drainage Assessment
16	Ground Investigation / Contamination Report
17	Air Quality Assessment
18	Noise Impact Assessment
19	Daylight and Sunlight Report
20	Refuse and Waste Management Plan
21	Energy / Sustainability Statement
22	Statement of Community Involvement

### 12 Agreement

12.1 The London Borough of Barnet and the Applicant hereby agree to the content of this Planning Performance Agreement.

## London Borough of Barnet

Name	Fabien Gaudin
Position	Service Director Planning and Building Control
Signature	
Date	

### The Applicant

Name	Anil Varma	
Position	Director	
Signature		
Date	8th. Oct. 2020	

#### Mclean, Josh

From: Mclean, Josh

**Sent:** 12 October 2020 14:47

To: Stuart Minty

Cc:

Subject: RE: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road

Thanks Stuart.

I confirm receipt and have been uploaded to the file.

Josh

Josh McLean MRTPI
Planning Manager
Planning and Building Control
2 Printed Avenue Colindale NWO 6

2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW

Tel: 0208 359 6039 | Barnet Online: www.barnet.gov.uk

Please note that the comments are provisional and represent an informal view by an officer; the views contained within this email do not constitute an official determination, are not legally binding and do not bind the council to a particular course of action.

From: Stuart Minty [mailto:Stuart@smplanning.com]

Sent: 12 October 2020 13:46

To: Mclean, Josh < Josh. Mclean@Barnet.gov.uk>

Cc: smplanning.com>

Subject: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road

Hi Josh,

Further to my emails of last week, please find an amended application form with correct ownership certificate signed and the description of development amended to incorporate correct parking figures.

In addition I have also attached the completed Air Quality Assessment for inclusion with the application.

Many thanks

# Stuart Minty Director

M: 07900 413080 DDI: 0207 692 0643



80-83 Long Lane London, EC1A 9ET www.smplanning.com

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so

hat we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future. SM Planning Services Limited puts the security of the client at a high priority. Therefore, value put efforts into ensuring that the message is error and virus-free.	ve
2	

#### Mclean, Josh

From: Mclean, Josh

**Sent:** 13 October 2020 08:49

**To:** Stuart Minty

**Subject:** RE: Signed PPA - 84 WHR

Attachments: PPA 84 - 86 West Heath Road - JM EDIT 13.10.docx

Morning Stuart,

The changes to committee were approved last night so I have amended the PPA to remove a specific date and state it will be referred to the earliest Planning Committee (A, B or C) in January 2021.

Also, since the start of April, the Council has been operating a 6 week consultation period for all major applications. It was confirmed yesterday that we are still continuing with this. Our overall project timescales aren't impacted by this.

I have updated the timescales to account for the validation of the application yesterday and the above.

If you are happy, I will ask Fab to sign.

Thanks Josh

Josh McLean MRTPI
Planning Manager
Planning and Building Control
2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW

Tel: 0208 359 6039 | Barnet Online: www.barnet.gov.uk

Please note that the comments are provisional and represent an informal view by an officer; the views contained within this email do not constitute an official determination, are not legally binding and do not bind the council to a particular course of action.

From: Stuart Minty [mailto:Stuart@smplanning.com]

Sent: 09 October 2020 08:30

To: Mclean, Josh < Josh. Mclean@Barnet.gov.uk>

**Cc:** smplanning.com> **Subject:** Signed PPA - 84 WHR

Hi Josh

Please see attached final versions of PPA in both word and ODF. Anil has signed – see third attachment.

Grateful if you could arrange for signature, and then we can finalise

Also let me know on the BACS details once you have these

Many thanks

Stuart

#### **Stuart Minty**

#### **Director**

M: 07900 413080 DDI: 0207 692 0643



80-83 Long Lane London, EC1A 9ET www.smplanning.com

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future. SM Planning Services Limited puts the security of the client at a high priority. Therefore, we have put efforts into ensuring that the message is error and virus-free.

#### Mclean, Josh

From: Mclean, Josh

**Sent:** 13 October 2020 13:05

To:

**Cc:** Stuart Minty

Subject: RE: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road



I have uploaded the plans to the website.

Josh

Josh McLean MRTPI
Planning Manager
Planning and Building Control

2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW

Tel: 0208 359 6039 | Barnet Online: www.barnet.gov.uk

Please note that the comments are provisional and represent an informal view by an officer; the views contained within this email do not constitute an official determination, are not legally binding and do not bind the council to a particular course of action.

**From:** @smplanning.com]

Sent: 13 October 2020 12:51

To: Mclean, Josh < Josh.Mclean@Barnet.gov.uk > Cc: Stuart Minty < Stuart@smplanning.com > Subject: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road

Hi Josh,

Apologies for the further changes but please find attached amended fifth floor plan and area plans with accompanying drawing issue sheet.

Many thanks



Associate

DDI: 0207 692 0643 M: 07766 023077



80-83 Long Lane London, EC1A 9ET

www.smplanning.com

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so

hat we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future. SM Planning Services Limited puts the security of the client at a high priority. Therefore, value put efforts into ensuring that the message is error and virus-free.	ve
2	

Sent:13 Oct 2020 12:50:51 +0100  To:Mclean, Josh Cc:Stuart Minty Subject:20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road Attachments:27b. 2031-PL-0215_Proposed Fifth Floor Plan AMENDED.pdf, 30-37b. Area Plans AMENDED 2031-PL-0700-AREA PLANS_COMBINED.pdf, 38. Drawing Issue Sheet 2031-PL-DIS_201008.pdf
Hi Josh,
Apologies for the further changes but please find attached amended fifth floor plan and area plans with accompanying drawing issue sheet.
Many thanks
Associate
DDI:
M:
SMPlanning

80-83 Long Lane

London, EC1A 9ET

### www.smplanning.com

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future. SM Planning Services Limited puts the security of the client at a high priority. Therefore, we have put efforts into ensuring that the message is error and virus-free.

#### Mclean, Josh

From: Mclean, Josh

**Sent:** 14 October 2020 08:32

To:

**Cc:** Stuart Minty

Subject: RE: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road



I've looked through the D&A and believe we are ok for further photos. That is a standard letter now that we send out for all applications.

I don't need you to provide anything further.

Thanks Josh

Josh McLean MRTPI
Planning Manager
Planning and Building Control

2 Bristol Avenue, Colindale, NW9 4EW

Tel: 0208 359 6039 | Barnet Online: www.barnet.gov.uk

Please note that the comments are provisional and represent an informal view by an officer; the views contained within this email do not constitute an official determination, are not legally binding and do not bind the council to a particular course of action.

**From** @smplanning.com]

Sent: 13 October 2020 14:57

To: Mclean, Josh <Josh.Mclean@Barnet.gov.uk>
Cc: Stuart Minty <Stuart@smplanning.com>
Subject: 20/4748/FUL - 84 West Heath Road

Hi Josh,

Your registration letter highlights a requirement for site photos to be submitted within the next two weeks. I just wanted to check whether that is necessary in this instance given the extent of photographs in the D&A Statement and other supporting docs?

Happy to provide if required so if you could let us know it would be appreciated.

Many thanks

Associate

DDI: 0207 692 0643 M: 07766 023077



80-83 Long Lane London, EC1A 9ET

#### www.smplanning.com

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future. SM Planning Services Limited puts the security of the client at a high priority. Therefore, we have put efforts into ensuring that the message is error and virus-free.

#### Carter, Richard

**From:** @harrisonvarma.co.uk>

Sent: 02 December 2020 14:26

To: Gaudin, Fabien; Mclean, Josh

**Cc:** Andy Goodchild (agoodchild@wolffarchitects.co.uk); Stuart Minty

**Subject:** Meeting 4:00pm Weds 2nd Dec

#### Dear Fabien and Josh

#### Please find attached:

- 1. Draft HV Response to Objections
- 2. Draft Email agreed with the nearest residents at 10 & 12 Westover Hill
- 3. Heathside Distribution List for the Community Involvement undertaken by Kanda
- 4. Summary of Objections not up to date as further comments have been received within the last few days including 2 support letters.
- 5. West Heath Action Group
  - a. Initial Letter
  - b. 2<sup>nd</sup> Letter (there may also be a third letter)
  - c. WHAG Pro-Forma Objection

I know there is a lot here but it is important that you have all the facts. I am really sorry that this has caused you grief and more work than anticipated.

We are also talking to a Care Home operator at 3:30pm and hopefully could have positive news.

#### Sincerely



Click here for Harrison Varma Terms and Conditions of Order.



Harrison Varma Limited Unit 1 South, Oxgate Centre, Oxgate Lane, London NW2 7JA

020 8883 6600 <u>harrisonvarma.co.uk</u> <u>buxmead.co.uk</u>



Registered in England & Wales. Registered No. 3544443.

Registered office: 35 Ballards Lane, London, United Kingdom, N3 1XW

The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential to the intended recipient. They may not be disclosed to or used by or copied in any way by anyone other than the intended recipient. If this email is received in error, please contact Harrison Varma on +44 (0)20 8883 6600 quoting the name of the sender and the email address to which it has been sent and then delete it. Please note that neither Harrison Varma nor the sender accepts any respons bility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan or otherwise check this email and attachments.

84 WHR Consultation smartsheet

Primary Column	Date of Submissio	Address	Post Code	Туре	Comments	Consult Atter	nd Objected to School	Not Consulted	Prefer School	Prefer Care Home	Heritage Building	Traffic etc	Parking	Construction	Over looking	Height	Trees	No of Units	Basement	Size
Andrew Kasriel	20/11/20	90 West Heath Road	NW3 7UJ	Obj	Proximity to the street - Building Line. Massive block. Excessive use of the site. Insufficient amenity space. Low quality design - monolithic appearance. Over-development - excess floor area and excessive height. Excess density at 153 habitable rooms per acre (378 habitable roor per hectare). Colossal effect on the surrounding street and houses. Sensitive treatment is required close to the fringes of Hampstead Heath. Disregard of many of the Policies set out in LB Barnet's Unitary Development Plan (UDP)	ns														
Charles And Lesley Okin	19/11/20	Flat 3 Horizons's Court 51 West Heath Road	NW3 3TH	Obj	Dear Sir/Madam We are the owners of Flat 3 Horizons's Court 51 West Heath road which is very close to the subject property. We object to the redevelopment proposed on the grounds that the scale and height is excessive. In addition, we object to the loss of the attractive existing building which we would have hoped could be reused and incorporated within any scheme. The proposals represent an overdevelopment of the site and an unacceptable intensification of use for the site. We are not averse to reasonable development but the scale propos is not appropriate in the area.	3														
Christine Gordon	05/11/20	2 West Heath Gardens	NW3 7TR	Obj	There are 4 vey large developments within 100yards of this. There too much building in this area which causes a lot of traffic	is N						<b>✓</b>		<u>~</u>						
Gideon Israel	09/11/20	71 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj	My name is Gideon Israel and I live at number 71 West Heath Road directly opposite the old nursing home and formerly school.  I was really surprised to receive notification of the application regarding the redevelopment of the site to form 45 flats on a site zoned as C2 usage-community use.  I don't think that there is a shortage of upmarket flats in the area buthere is certainly a shortage of community use buildings-care home and the like.  I think that the change of use of the site is totally inappropriate at the time and in this area. The site has not been marketed for community times and in this area.	t is				✓		✓								
					use and so there can be no good argument to change the use at thi stage.  The entrance and exit of the site is on a blind bend and an increase the traffic will be dangerous and harmful.  I think that a sympathetic development of the site in keeping with its use class would be much more useful to the community.  This scheme is nothing to do with adding value to the community-judding profit to the developer.  I don't think that the scheme should even be considered until all avenues to keep the use class have been explored. We are a long way from that point.	in														
Jason Ekaireb	03/11/20	5 Eden Close	NW3 7UL	Obj	While I would not per se object to a change of use to residential, the scale of the proposed development is problematic for several reasons. 1) it is in excess of the existing building height (even at its highest point) 2) the proposed height and rooftop garden would allo residents of the new building to overlook Eden Close and invade ou privacy 3) the sheer number of proposed units and hence residents problematic with respect to vehicular entrance and exit from the building given the location of the site on a blind bend on West Heatl Road. Beyond the issues with the building once built there are significant issues with the likely building programme for a building of this scale given the location of the site and I feel this would present danger not only to the trades people on site but to all residents in the surrounding area. Finally the proposed parking seems adequate on for the residents of the building but would not suffice for any visitors and this would cause an issue given the lack of available off street parking or West Heath Road. In summary if there is to a change of use to residential it needs to be far lower in height and smaller in overall scale and there needs to be a detailed plan for the building works that addresses the safety issues given the site's location and also minimises inconvenience to neighbouring properties in the surrounding vicinity.	w irris							<b>▽</b>	<b>▽</b>	<b>▽</b>	•		<b>✓</b>		
Johanna Gehl	04/11/20	5 Westover Hill	NW3 7uh	Obj	The size of this development does not fit into the surroundings of th area. It would take away the green quiet conservation area of the Heath. West Heath drive is already a very busy road, particularly in the mornings and the additional car usage would cause havor. Not mention the disruption that the large lorries would cause during construction. The height of the building means that it would tower over any surrounding property, taking away privacy from gardens et I am astounding that Barnet council would even consider such a development in this location	to						✓				✓				
Lana Gavin	18/11/20	Flat 3, 4 Cannon Place	NW3 1EJ	Obj	I am writing to you to let you know that I object to the possible development at 84 West Heath Road. This is not a residential buui;Iding, nor has it ever been. it will cause a huge amount of dust, pollution and noise to the surrounding area (where I live) - which will be a health hazard to local inhabitants. Also many mature trees, foliage and scrub will be destroyed. I believe that the council is supposed to be marketing the site as a 0 use for a substantial period - up to 2 years - before another use can even been considered - and this has not happened.	02			<b>~</b>	✓				✓			<b>✓</b>			
Michael Slowe	11/11/20	21 West Heath Gardens	NW3 7TR	Obj	As a local resident at my current address for the past fifty five years feel entitled to comment on the devastating effect that nearby developments, approved by your Council, have had on my immedia surroundings. I have seen a serious negative effect on our green ar pleasant suburb over this period of time, particularly in the later yea of my residence here.  Gardens have been allowed to disappear under concrete, garages have been permitted to be converted (so increasing on street parking), the water table has been seriously disturbed by large underground spaces being allowed to be built.  Following all of the above, the latest horrific possibility is the development of the old school/nursing home site at 84 West Heath Road. We are already suffering from two developments just up the road by the junction with huge lorries frequently blocking the road a creating dust and fumes. You will also be aware that the Oren development in that vicinity is having the enormous hole already prepared for a building, filled in, the development apparently being abandoned. What a disgrace and what a terrible Planning Department that can allow this chaos.  I also understand that regarding the school/nursing home scheme, the C2 usage would not permit a housing development on the site. Under your own rules there needs to be a marketing of the site with C2 usage for a period approaching two years. No such marketing hoen attempted so your own rules would be broken if you allow this development.	ate and a second and a second ass			<b>▽</b>											

Primary Column	Date of Submissio	n Address	Post Code	Туре	Comments		Attend Objected to School	Not Consulted	Prefer School	Prefer Care Home	Heritage Building	Traffic etc	Parking	Construction	Over looking	Height	Trees	No of Units	Basement	Size
Michael Slowe	28/10/20	21 West Heath Gardens	NW3 7TR	Obj	DUPLICATE	)														
Mr Roger Bernheim	05/11/20	3 Eden Close	NW3 7UL	Obj	We object to the change of use to residential and the loss of a residential home, which is very much needed in today's times.	١	· _			<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<u>~</u>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		
					If change of use is allowed, it should be for similar property to that already in the area, namely houses or a small block of flats.															
					What is proposed is completely out of character with the neighborhood and should not be allowed.															
				The proposed physical dimensions are much tooo great and would dominate the area - 5 floors (and rooftop garden) above ground is 2 stories higher than any other development. A large number of these would overlook existing surrounding properties.																
					The number of units proposed is far too many and would again change the nature of the area. Rather than have 45 small units, permission should then only be allowed for fewer larger units in keeping with other blocks in the area.															
					The negative traffic implications of such a large number of units wou be significant - traffic volume, parking (despite some on-site provision), pollution on what is already a busy major thoroughfare where traffic backs up from Hampstead every morning.	ıld														
Mrs N Sankey 16/11/20	1 Westover Hill	NW3 7UH	Obj	I am writing to voice my strong objections to the proposed plans at 8 West heath Road.  The land does not have residential planning and as i understand the site needs to be marketed as C2 type for at least 2 years prior to any potential change of use application. West Heath Road already has a significant level of works being carried out at the Oron site and residents have endured years of heavy traffic from this site. Along with smaller developments within half a mile of the site, there always appears to be construction going on and this proposed development will only add to and continue the							✓									
					pollution, noise and lower air quality we experience. Its simply not fa and we need a period of abeyance in the area to allow us to enjoy or neighbourhood.  The entrance to 84 West Heath is on a corner. The road is already a rat run for traffic in the mornings and evenings. If this works were permitted, West Heath Road would be impassable at times which would make traffic pollution intolerable for local residents.  Please, please do not permit this site for residential development	ur														
Ms Hayley Kohn	13/11/20	14 Briardale Gardens	NW3 7PP	Obj	I write against this. My son went to Heathside. I can't understand ho the council prevented the school from remaining in premises that at least partially complied with planning class and provided a huge benefit to the community, and instead will allow an entirely different use of no benefit to the existing community (except developers who will have known that this wasn't a residential premise on purchase). Prior to my son being accepted at Heathside, there was no other school that would help as he has SEN and was out of school year. Heathside does an incredible job catering for children that massively benefit from this necessary school. It is disgraceful, that your council would so vehemently oppose a wonderful school but allow a 7-story monstrosity of a building, totally out of keeping with the area. Not to mention the knocking down a beautiful Victorian building for no reason and the huge wasted carbo energy in rebuilding; the pollution it will generate, the crazy traffic on West Heath Road, the trees it will destroy (from the plans it looks lik the intention is to build right next to all the trees, which is totally unrealistic and obviously going to destroy tree roots and trees will er up coming down in the future).	on e			✓	✓	<b>V</b>						✓			
					offered to purchasers with that purpose first? There is a significant shortage of care home places across England. By Barnet's own calculations by 2021 the number of people in dementia in Barnet is expected to increase by 24%, the population is growing fast and livir longer, by 2022, 9 out of 10 areas are projected to have a severe care home bed shortage.  Where are people meant to go now for these kind of community services and why is Barnet deliberately creating a shortage and quietly withdrawing these services? Granting this permission is inconsistent with your role to manage the needs of the local community and I, like so many others, am completely opposed to it.															

Primary Column	Date of Submissio	n Address	Post Code	Туре	Comments	Consult At	tend Objected to School	Not Consulted	Prefer School	Prefer Care Home	Heritage Building	Traffic etc	Parking	Construction	Over looking	Height	Trees	No of Units	Basement	Size
Nicholas Aleksander	23/10/20	3 Elm Walk	NW3 7UP	Obj	I refer to the planning application 20/4748/FUL for 84 West Heath Road, NW3. As a local resident, I would like to comment on the application as follows:	Y						✓	✓	✓						
					<ol> <li>I am generally supportive of the application, and the change of us to residential. I particularly like the fact that the trees on the site are be preserved. I participated in the local residents' consultation, which I found to be helpful.</li> </ol>	to														
					2. However, there are aspects of the development proposal on which have some comments which are as follows:	h														
					a) 84 West Heath Road is located on a blind bend in West Heath Road - which is something of an accident blackspot. West Heath Road is a rat-run, and can get very busy (although the impact of COVID-19 has been to reduce traffic temporarily). There have been fatal accidents at this location, and in consequence there are parkin restrictions on one side of the road, and an electronically activated illuminated speed limit sign for traffic coming down the hill (from the Platts Lane junction). The entrance to the site is on the blackspot itself, and there is a risk of accidents as vehicles exit the site - or if pedestrians cross the road at that point. It should be a requirement the development (perhaps under a planning agreement with Barnet that the developer pays for traffic calming measures on West Heath Road, in order to force vehicles (particularly those coming down the hill) to slow down. This could take the form of traffic islands (which would be useful for pedestrians) or a chicane - speed bumps would not be welcome. In addition, consideration should be given to extending the existing 20mph speed limit on West Heath Road from the junction at Platts Lane to the T junction at the foot of the hill.	g of														
3					b) I am also concerned about the risk of accidents due to constructive faffic - particularly if heavy lorries are queuing on West Heath Road to enter the site (either to collect waste or to deliver). There should no vehicles waiting on West Heath Road at all. Instead there needs be a waiting area located well away from the site, and vehicles are then called onto the site with a traffic marshal. In addition, there will need to be traffic management measures at the site entrance to control and manage vehicles driving along West Heath Road. It should be a requirement that no construction traffic should reverse without a banksman/woman watching the rear of the vehicle.	De to														
					c) The planning application refers to there being twice-weekly collections of recycling waste and residual waste from the development. This is four collections each week. No doubt there wil also be a requirement for horticultural waste to be collected too. These collections are to be kerbside on West Heath Road. I am concerned about large refuse trucks having to park at an accident blackspot whilst the bins are emptied. In addition, these heavy commercial bins will have to be manhandled across the site and across the pavement - and given their weight and the sloping site, there is a risk that they could run away and hit a pedestrian or a passing vehicle. It would be better if provision could be made for the refuse to be collected on the site, and for the refuse trucks to enter the site, so that the bins never leave the site (and the site can be graded to minimise the risk of the bins running away). This would al mitigate the risk of waste blowing away along the road.	3														
					d) One of the problems experienced with other developments on West Heath Road is that construction workers drove to the sites, an parked in adjoining roads. Because the residents parking restriction are only for one hour (to discourage commuter parking), the construction workers can park freely in residents' bays for virtually twhole of the day, causing inconvenience and annoyance to residen The developers should adopt a travel plan for the construction workers so that they minimise workers travelling to the site by carand instead use public transport - or a minibus or similar ser	s ne														
Nunzio Q	27/10/20	97 West Heath Road	NW3 7TN	Obj	DUPLICATE	N														
Nunzio Quacquarelli	18/10/20	97 west heath road	NW3 7TN	Obj	Traffic congestion on west heath road already terrible. Plan is far to many flats and vehicles. Will lead to a bottle neck at Whitestone ponds. Bad for Hampstead in general.  Also three stories should be the absolute limit for the area.	o N										<b>~</b>				
Or to Other date	00/44/00	Materia Octob	W/A 4TT	OF:	Development should be no higher than existing property to avoid ruining views from the heath and Golders hill park.	N														
Olivia Stockdale	03/11/20	Victorian Society	W4 1TT	Obj	We have been alerted to the application to demolish the existing building and construct a seven-storey building. We object to the proposals on the grounds that they involve the loss of a significant non-designated heritage asset, and our comments are outlined below.  The Planning Statement argues that "the existing building? is not considered to be of any architectural or historic significance and do not therefore contribute positively to the character and appearance the area." We disagree with this view.  The historic significance of the building becomes evident when the history of the area is considered. In 1895, this area was occupied by a handful of large villas with large gardens, including no.84. The Buildings of England, London 4: North, notes that there was extensi development in the early 20th century followed by the demolition of many of these Victorian buildings in the 1980s. Towards the west heath, nos 114-116 and no 84 survived and were specifically mentioned on page 138 as two of the few surviving Victorian Mansions. Unfortunately, permission was granted to demolish the striking nos 114-116 in 2017. Consequently, no.84 now remains as vestige of the original development in this area. It is still discernible a large mansion set within a large plot of land, despite the later extension, and the demolition of this would remove one of the later extension, and the demolition of this would remove one of the later traces of this early development.  As to the architectural significance of the building, no. 84 is in many ways representative of late Victorian mansions. It displays a wealth characterful detailing which speaks to the self-confidence of the higher classes of late Victorian society. The prominent corner plot of the building makes this more noticeable, and the unusual corner of window, and turret, enhance this subtle ostentatiousness. Despite tunsympathetic extension, it remains an attractive building which greatly contributes to the streetscape.	ess of y ve a as of f														
Peter Pickering	26/10/20	3 Westbury Road	N12 7NY	Obj	This is a comment on behalf of the Hendon and District Archaeological Society. 84 West Heath Road is in an Archaeologica Priority Area; the redevelopment proposed is extensive, and involve basements. Historic England may therefore wish to consider asking	s					<b>✓</b>									
R & S Clifton	09/11/20	Flat 4, 61 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj	for an archaeological condition, and so I am copying this to them  DUPLICATE		<b>✓</b>													
Robert Clifton	16/10/20	61 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj	This section of West Heath Road is already overcrowded and there are limited on street parking facilities. The proposed height of the building is well over the exiting neighbouring built levels. The additional traffic created by such a scheme would be hazardous especially to the majority of us living in this road who are mostly in 0.70's and 80's. The existing building has character and a new building specifically one that is oversized, would not fit in.	our	<b>2</b>					✓				<b>~</b>				✓

-	Primary Column	Date of	Address	Post Code	Туре	Comments	Consult Attenda	d Objected to	Not Consulted	Prefer School	Prefer Care Home	Heritage Building	Traffic	Parking	Construction	Over looking	Height	Trees	No of Units	Basement	Size
20	Sanam Oveyssi	20/11/20	17 West Heath Gardens	NW3 7TR	Obj	Hello, I am writing to you and joining my neighbours in stopping the demolition of the last Victorian residence in this area. They are planning on destroying this property and building 45 new flats. I have young children and live in the area. We are constantly walking and cycling around there and the thought of having yet another project like this is trustrating. They plan on increasing the square footage by 350%. The area will be heavily congested, noisy and dusty and our quiet enjoyment will be gone.  We moved to Hampstead 5 years ago with our young family in the hopes of living next to the Heath and being closer to the green fresh environment but all these new developments are ruining everything. I would be grateful if you would reconsider this proposal. Kind regards,	N								✓						
21	Serra Ataman	16/11/20	157A West Heath Road	NW3 7TT	Obj	Dear Councillors and the planning team of Barnet Council, Being one of the residents of West Heath Road, I am very concerned about the site notice outside 84 West Heath Road.  This site has a usage of C2 however the current plans look like the developer will carry out a residential unit which will comprise 45 flats and take up the greenery at ground floor with buildings.  Beyond the project being developed against its usage, It is deeply concerning that years long construction will affect the residents' health, the greenery will be lost and a land designed for a community usage will be privatised.				<b>▽</b>								✓			
22	Simon L Cohen	12/11/20	175 West Heath Road	NW3 7TT	Obj	I have lived in West Heath Rd for the last 43 years, a wonderful quiet residential road. I am appalled to learn about the planned development at 84 West Heath Rd. The development will disturb the area with the increased traffic of forries, dust, furnesand noise This site has never been residential, this site has been and should continue to be used as a school or nursing home. A huge residential building is planned which will adversely affect the character of the area.  It should not be permitted				<b>V</b>					<b>V</b>		Ш				<b>V</b>
23	Siobhan Bussetil	18/11/20	7 Westover Hill	NW3 7UH	Obj	I have read the guidelines on your website regarding reasons for objecting to a development and I have to say that I object on practically every level. Some of the houses on Westover Hill will be overlooked, particularly since the developers plan to create a roof garden on what will effectively be a sixth storey. A beautiful Victorian house will be demolished, many mature trees will have to be cut down, and the construction will cause noise, pollution and considerable disruption of traffic for possibly two to three years.  I believe that at present the site has a usage of C2, ie community use as a school or residential home, surely there is a greater need for such an establishment than a huge development comprising of 45 apartments and parking for over 60 cars.  I would like to add my objections to those of many local residents who share my dismay at such a proposal.				V								<b>▽</b>			
24	Valerie Shannon	16/11/20	19 West Heath Gardens	NW3 7TR	Obj	I would like to raise an objection to the proposed building of a large block of flats at 84 West Heath Road. I am a resident in West Heath Gardens and understand this building will cause a lot of mayhem in this area with noise and air pollution being one of the many unwelcome factors.  The property has been used for community purposes e.g. as a school or nursing home, not for residential use and there will be the loss of trees and shrubs as well as a lot of private homes being overlooked.  I hope you will take these observations into consideration and refuse the grant to build this large block of flats on this site.				<b>~</b>											
25	Standard Letter																				
26	A J English	09/11/20	29 West Heath Avenue	NW11 7QJ	Obj		N		~	~								<b>~</b>			
27	Adele & Barrie Dix	03/11/20	40 West Heath Road	NW3 7UR	Obj		N		~	~		<b>✓</b>					~	~			
28	Andrea Murray	30/10/20	27 West Heath Gardens	NW3	Obj		N		$\checkmark$	~								$\checkmark$			
29	Andrew Grant	26/10/20	Flat 9 Oak Lodge, 67 West Heath	NW3 7AP	Obj		N		~	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>						~	~			
30	Cecilia Colman	04/11/20	5 Beechworth Close	NW3 7UT	Obj		N		✓	~	<b>V</b>	✓					~	~			
31	Daniela Skacandua	27/10/20	97 West Heath Road	NW3 7TN	Obj		N		<u> </u>	~								~			
32	Dr George Conn	09/11/20	Flat 3, 61 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj		N		~	~		~					<u>~</u>	~			
33	E Idrissov  Edward And Pearl Bowman	02/11/20 30/10/20	8 Eden Close 4 Cartton Close	NW3 7UL NW3 7UA	Obj		N N			~							<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>			
34	Francesco Albert	27/10/20	157A West Heath Road	NW3	Obj		N		<b>✓</b>	~	~	<b>▽</b>					<b>▽</b>	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>			
35	G Isneel	05/11/20	71 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj		N			<b>V</b>					<u> </u>			V			
37	Gary Caplan	09/11/20	Flat 2, 61 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj		N		<b>V</b>	~						- H	~	<b>V</b>			
38	Harold S	26/10/20	Flat 4 Oak Lodge, 67 West Heath	NW3 7AP	Obj		N	— <u> </u>	~									~		-5	
39	Hilton	28/10/20	4 Eden Close	NW3 7UL	Obj		N		~	~								~			
40	Howard & Sheila Harris	09/11/20	Flat 5, 61 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj		N		~	~		<b>~</b>					~	~			
41	Irene and Jake Panayiotoo	27/10/20	167 West Heath Road	NW3 7TT	Obj		N		~	~		~					~	~			
42	Irving And Marion Yass	09/11/20	Flat 1, 61 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj		Y		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>~</b>					<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>			
43	K & S Branin	28/10/20	9 Cariton Close	NW3 7UA	Obj		N		$\checkmark$	~		<b>~</b>						~			
44	Karen And J Abrams	11/11/20	63 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj		N		<b>~</b>	~	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>						<b>V</b>			
45	Miss L Rose	30/10/20	207 West Heath Road	NW3 7TT	Obj		N		✓	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	~					~	<b>✓</b>			
46	Mr & Mrs Clark	30/10/20	177 West Heath Road	NW3 7TT	Obj		N		~	~		✓					~	~			
47	Mr & Mrs G Graham	30/10/20	2 Eden Close	NW3 7UL	Obj		N		<u> </u>	~							✓	~			
48	Mr & Mrs S Katz	04/11/20	1 Eden Close	NW3 7UL	Obj		N		~	~	<b>V</b>	~					~				
49	Mr C V Acken & Mrs K De Wo		89 West Heath Road	NW3 7TN	Obj		N		<u> </u>	~							~	<b>V</b>			
50	Mr M Marks	27/10/20	157B West Heath Road	NW3 7TT	Obj		N		<u> </u>	~				닏	ᆜ	<u> </u>	~	✓			
51	Mrs Sandra Sorsky	30/10/20	67 West Heath Road	NW3	Obj		N		~	~	<b>V</b>	✓					~	~			

	Primary Column	Date of Submission	Address	Post Code	Туре	Comments	Attend ee	Objected to School	Not Consulted	Prefer School		Heritage Building	Traffic etc	Parking	Construction	Over looking	Height	Trees	No of Units	Basement	Size
52	N&S Rayne	09/11/20	Flat 7 61 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj		N		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>			
53	Norman Fetterman	09/11/20	10 Elm Walk	NW3 7UP	Obj		N		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			
54	Peter Levi	09/11/20	Flat 6, 61 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj		N	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			
55	Robert Vegoda	27/10/20	26 West Heath Avenue	NW11 7QL	Obj		N		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			
56	Sid And Jean Gould	27/10/20	Flat 1 Oak Lodge, 67 West Heath	NW3 7AP	Obj		N		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			
57	Stuart Isaacs	02/11/20	49 West Heath Road	NW3 7TH	Obj		N	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			
58	Tamar And David Maislish	09/11/20	Flat 2, Oak Lodge, 67 West Heath	NW3 7AP	Obj		N		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			
59	Valerie Fetterman	09/11/20	10 Elm Walk	NW3 7UP	Obj		N		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			
60																					