

Environmental Health, 1255 High Road, Barnet House, London, N20 0EJ Website: www.barnet.gov.uk Tel: 020 8359 7995

Email: foodsafety@barnet.gov.uk Fax: 0870 889 6793

Food Hygiene Food Standards / Health & Safety Inspection Report

This inspection report covers only the areas inspected at the time of the inspection. It does not indicate compliance with any provision of the relevant food safety or health and safety law. It is not a notice requiring works to be carried out. All items marked 'L' are legal requirements and 'R' recommendations for best practice. Please note this schedule of works is NOT exhaustive but list those items which are obvious at the time of the visit. You are reminded the obligation rests with the business to ensure compliance with all legal requirements.

Ref: (P 3 1791 Date: 7 11/2010).	Time In:	Time Out:
Full/Partial-Inspection Revisit	1.3 1	Other:
Name of Business: Lahore Tikka Ma	sala.	
Address: 39 Station Boad	EdoLEGE, H	A8 744
Telephone / mobile number / Email	14700 No	Sto.
Registered Address (if different):	A STATE STAT	
Business Operator: / above Tikke Marridon Hat.	Business Type:	· Responses.
Person(s) seen/interviewed:	Position: FRO/N	randoer.
Documents / Re	cords Examined	,
Food Safety Documents	Health & Safe	ety Documents
☐ HACCP/FSMS/SFBB ☐ Training Records ☐ Pest control reports ☐ Suppliers invoices ☐ Cleaning Schedule ☐ Import documents ☐ Temperature records ☐ Documents ☐ Waste transfer notes e.g. Oil ☐ Allergen Information ☐ / Animal by products ☐ Other Documents	☐ Induction & Training ☐ Risk Assessment ☐ COSHH assessments ☐ Gas/Electrical certification	☐ Maintenance Records☐ Fork Lift Trucks☐ Accident Records
Areas inspected: Watcher	Samples Taken:	2
Inspection Outcome	edule – (left on site) 🔲 Servic	e of Detention/Seizure Notice e of Improvement Notice/s
follow) FOOD HYGIE	☐ Consid	ses closure formal/voluntary deration of legal action
FOOD HYGIE	☐ Consid	deration of legal action
	☐ Consid	
FOOD HYGIE Food Hygiene Rating – Criteria Assessed	☐ Consid	deration of legal action
FOOD HYGIE Food Hygiene Rating – Criteria Assessed Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures Compliance with structural requirements	☐ Consid	deration of legal action
Food Hygiene Rating – Criteria Assessed Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures Compliance with structural requirements Confidence in management/control procedures	☐ Consid	deration of legal action
Food Hygiene Rating – Criteria Assessed Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures Compliance with structural requirements Confidence in management/control procedures Total Score	☐ Consid	deration of legal action
Food Hygiene Rating – Criteria Assessed Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures Compliance with structural requirements Confidence in management/control procedures Total Score Food Hygiene Rating	ENE RATING	Annex 5 Score
FOOD HYGIE Food Hygiene Rating – Criteria Assessed Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures Compliance with structural requirements Confidence in management/control procedures Total Score Food Hygiene Rating N.B If your Total Score is greater than 0 please ref	ENERATING er to the Inspection Report Sche	Annex 5 Score
FOOD HYGIE Food Hygiene Rating – Criteria Assessed Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures Compliance with structural requirements Confidence in management/control procedures Total Score Food Hygiene Rating N.B If your Total Score is greater than 0 please reflas the officer clearly explained to you the outcome of this inspective when? Yes NO NO Output Description:	ENERATING er to the Inspection Report Sche	Annex 5 Score
Food Hygiene Rating – Criteria Assessed Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures Compliance with structural requirements Confidence in management/control procedures Total Score Food Hygiene Rating N.B If your Total Score is greater than 0 please reflas the officer clearly explained to you the outcome of this inspecting when? Yes NO Pos	ENE RATING er to the Inspection Report Scheon so that you understand what	Annex 5 Score
Food Hygiene Rating – Criteria Assessed Compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures Compliance with structural requirements Confidence in management/control procedures Total Score Food Hygiene Rating N.B If your Total Score is greater than 0 please reflas the officer clearly explained to you the outcome of this inspecting when? Yes No Posspecting Officer (print) Posspecting Officer (Signature)	er to the Inspection Report Sche on so that you understand what ition:	Annex 5 Score 1 S 2 O dule of Works you need to do (if anything) and

NOTES FOR COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES

If you wish to discuss any items relating to this inspection report, please contact the inspecting officer. If your concerns remain unresolved or you should like to discuss other matters regarding the visit, please contact the Team Leader on: 020 8359 7453. The Council's 'Complaints Procedure and Enforcement Policy' is available at: www.barnet.gov.uk

FOOD HYGIENE RATING

This authority operates the national Food Hygiene Rating Scheme. This is designed to help consumers choose where to eat out or shop for food by giving them information about the hygiene standards in food outlets at the time they are inspected. Your rating has been calculated on the basis of the standards found at the time of inspection. Your inspection report outlines the inspector's findings and highlights the priority actions and improvements that are needed to ensure that you are complying with the Food Safety & Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013.

A sticker showing your rating following your recent inspection will be given at the time of the inspection or sent in due course. You can tell your customers how good your hygiene standards are by placing the sticker in the window/door. If you do not have a suitable glass surface, you can request a wall sticker. You must remove and destroy the sticker/certificate showing your previous rating. Only one rating the most recent rating - should be displayed. To continue to display a previous rating may constitute an offence under the Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008. Your Food Hygiene Rating will also be published on the Food Standards Agency's website at: www.food.gov.uk/ratings within three and five weeks from receiving this letter.

Safeguards

If you think that the rating is wrong or unfair - in other words it does not reflect the hygiene standards at the time of your inspection - you have 21 days in which you can appeal against this. You should appeal in writing to the Lead Officer for Food at Environmental Health, 9th Floor Barnet House, 1255 High Road, London, N20 0EJ but I would recommend that you get in touch with me first so that I can help you to understand how your rating was worked out.

If you have improved hygiene standards since your inspection, or if there were unusual circumstances at the time of the inspection that might have affected your food hygiene rating, you have a 'right to reply' so that you can explain this to potential customers that look up your rating online.

If you make the improvements to hygiene standards that are highlighted in your inspection report, you can request a revisit with a view to giving you a new and higher food hygiene rating. There will be a charge of £185 for each re-visit carried out at your request. The re-visit will be carried out within three months of receipt of your application and payment. Payment can be made card by telephoning 020 8359 7995 or by cheque made payable to London Borough of Barnet.

More information about these safeguards and the form to be completed to request a re-visit can be found on the FSA's website at: http://www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/enforcement/fhrssafeguards.pdf

How is your food hygiene rating calculated?

Total Score	0 – 15	20	25 – 30	35 – 40	45 – 50	>50
Highest	PRESENTATION OF THE SECTION OF THE S		rit til dirikmin som men sommunen som den som gammanen. Som sam som som			
Permitted	5	10	10	15	25	
Individual score						
FO	OD HYGIENE RATING	FOOD HYGIENE PATING	FOOD HYGIENE BATING	ECOD HYGIENE BATING	(ECOD HAGIENE BYLING	EOOD HYGIENE BATING

Rating



NOTICE OF OUR POWERS

Under the following legislation, Food safety Act 1990, Food Safety & Hygiene Regulations 2013, Health & Safety at Work etc Act 1974 and Regulation EC No. 178/2002 an authorised Officer may at all reasonable (when open to trade) times on production of his/her identification, enter any business premises under the legislation listed below for the purpose of inspection and/or enforcement. Admissions to a private dwelling (home) shall not be demanded unless 24 hour notice of the intended entry is given to the occupier.

SUMMARY OF YOUR RIGHTS

For further details, please refer to the following:

- 1. Food law inspections and your businesses (Food Standards Agency)
- 2. What to expect when a health and safety inspector calls (Health and Safety Executive)
- 3. London Borough of Barnet Enforcement Policy

These rights, which are taken from Code B of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (Code of Practice for the Search of Premises and the Seizure of Property Found on Persons or Premises) (the 'Code'), provides a mechanism whereby you may be entitled to compensation for any damage caused as a result of the inspection.

SINESS TRADING NAME & ADDR		Ka Mosa		TIME SCALE(S)
C=		RECOMMENDATION	HAS HIX.	FOR COMPLIANCE
At an i	incompound in	spectron v	rado hoday Mr. Malik	Taslin.
the proce	doct to show the chocks the opening of	me po se were r	SFBB, your no other FSA reference to hocks were n	ng a Us informational hab noforme
voluntee that he anomole all the being 1	had the Alle had prepare just the alle parathets as ree from whe I contain w	ergy water plans use eat. who	ation, making a constant of the noted as a chief	takens that
1 proceed deploration condition areas (c	ed to the led e condition in of the structure ordering in stop	terns of the of t	ore I found hyggerie & t	\$
	Equipment is Daven ext Dicher !! Dich floor	hacters/ca etesside Alty, groa	s belonex that f	card. Ming wi
	seen:-	en Ann	Delatoolog	1 (000sm.

FOOD SAFETY ACT 1990 - PREMISES RISK RATING FORM

Descriptor For office V	117	Urgent improvement necessary V 220	Major improvement necessary	Improvement necessary	Generally satisfactory UNS G207 SA	Good	Very g	
Food hygiene rati	ng	0	1	2	3	4	5	
dditional scoring	g factor		No individual score > 20	No individual score > 15	No individual score > 10	No individual score > 10	No individua	l score > 5
otal score		50+	45 - 50	35 - 40	25 - 30	20	0 –	15
							rating score	
lisk	None					**************************************		0
Significance of	Significar	nt						20
ating factor)	Good							0
food hygiene	Moderate)						5
Confidence)	Satisfacto	-						10
System	Poor							20
Management	None						***	30
		ndard compliand	e, good practice					0
		ndard compliand	•					5
ating factor)	i	n compliance, ir	•					10
food hygiene		n compliance, s	_					15
Structural		failure – standaı 						20
Compliance –]	otal non complia						25
			e, good practice				,	0
	I -	ndard compliand	•					5
ating factor)	ì	n compliance, i	·					10
food hygiene		on compliance, s	-					15
łygiene	General	failure – standa	rds low					20
Compliance –	Almost to	otal non complia	ince					25
Customers	No							0
/ulnerable	Yes							22
		ses supplying le	ss than 20 consur	ners each day				0
	Serves lo							5
Risk		substantial numb	per					10
Consumers at			or international					15
		r case not includ						0
				oc calciliale of U	nacioookeu iiii0li(_{an controlled} proce	suures	
	1					gh controlled proce		
				=		ooked ready to eat at Carpaccio, type:		20
	1						. f d . f	
				s, including butche	_	oning of meat prod	aucis prior to	
						poling of meat pro	duata priar ta	
			_		ying (dried hams,			
Processing	Canning	or other aseptic	packing of low-ac	id foods; Vacuum	and sous-vide pac	kina;		20
	Retail lo	w risk or any no	t included in abov	e				5
			etail sale to final c	consumer				10
	i	cture or packing						10
			utors not in above					10
		prepacked high	- ·	o meals/day)				10
	1		en high risk (< 2	_	ng open nigh risk	1000		10
Food Type		-	import high risk	//cooking or handl	ng open high risk	food		30 30

Business trading name & address:-	teka Masala, 39 Stati	er Pd.
SCHEDULE OF WORKS	REQUIRED (Alongone HAS)H)	TIME SCALÉ(S) FOR COMPLIANCE
	RECOMMENDATION	
(5) Dick food co	onbairs (i) sugar roces (ii) sprie conta (iii) flour conta (iv) lide to pla (iv) lide to pla	1. 3
(6) Cooked rie - pal were di 6) Fridge / Freeze a chost from	nes should in trubs they have be a count. I seeds to walk it	
Food Freshnoss laborated mayority of walking cold mon "yellows" labels to a day previous to a previo	els.	dey- were
The Servery gold The grease Arters le Looks belond Were greasy advita - Electrics: The Office socker moder Signature of person seen;	xtrator was greasy of a not kept marteal to te roar of the 100.	1755e7-6
Signature of person seen:-	Signature of Officer \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Revisit Revisit
		□ Yes □ No

FOOD SAFETY ACT 1990 - PREMISES RISK RATING FORM

Food Type	Manufacture, repact	or import high risk				40	
	Prepare more than 2	0 high meals per day	y/cooking or hand	ling open high risk	food	30	
	Prep, cook or handle	open high risk (< 2	20 meals/day)			10	
	Handle prepacked hi	gh risk				10	
	Wholesalers and dist	ributors not in above	•			10	
	Manufacture or pack	ing low risk				10	
	Filleting/salting fish for	or retail sale to final o	consumer			10	
	Retail low risk or any	not included in abov	/e			5	
Processing	Canning or other asep	tic packing of low-ac	id foods; Vacuum	and sous-vide page	cking;	20	
	Manufacture of cook/c						
	Freeze drying; Addition				ooling of meat pro	ducts prior to	
	service e.g. production						
	Establishments that m				•	1 20	
	animal origin caterers					s of sushi or	
	sashimi, ceviche, and		be eaten rare or u	indercooked throu	gh controlled proce	edures	
	Any other case not inc	cluded in above				0	
Consumers at	Manufacture for natio				-	15	
Risk	Serves substantial nu	ımber				10	
	Serves local area					5	
	Businesses supplying	less than 20 consur	mers each day			0	
Vulnerable	Yes					22	
Customers	No					0	
Compliance –	Almost total non comp					25	
Hygiene	General failure – stan					20	
(food hygiene	Some non compliance	· -				15	
rating factor)	Some non compliance	•				10	
	High standard complia	· ·				5	
Compliance –	High standard complia					0	
Structural	Almost total non comp General failure – stan					25	
food hygiene	Some non compliance					20	
ating factor)	Some non compliance					15	
utilig lactor)	High standard complia	•				10	
	High standard complia	•				5	
Management	None	oo, good praotioo				30	
System	Poor					20	
Confidence)	Satisfactory					10	
food hygiene	Moderate					5	
ating factor)	Good					0	
Significance of	Significant					20	
Risk	None					0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Total risk	rating score	
otal score	50+	45 - 50	35 - 40	25 - 30	20	0 – 15	
dditional scoring	factor	No individual score > 20	No individual	No individual	No individual	No individual score >	> 5
ood hygiene ratir	og 0	1	score > 15 2	score > 10 3	score > 10 4	5	
escriptor	Urgent improvement	Major improvement necessary	Improvement necessary	Generally satisfactory	Good	Very good	

41.4.

Busines	STRADING NAME & ADDRESS: Lahore Tikka Masala, 39 56 h	er food,
	SCHEDULE OF WORKS REQUIRED CASCUTE, HAS	TIME SCALE(S) FOR COMPLIANCE
	C= CONTRAVENTION R= RECOMMENDATION	
C	SIM were seen if proposition, of food with what wearing clean smiller over-cle	Alling a
1	Pert Profine: Lack of clocking and otherst posts. This was debated is your tast post on report; daded 2/7018	Wol of
	There was a gap to the rear passage de that leads he he rear yard area. Lack of preparation weeks to exalle pevention of cross-rontamination. (chopping boards were all heavily so o in a deployed condition - reading to in a deployed condition - reading to	
	Stoff Sonitary Accommodation. The condition of the staff sonitary and the staff sonitary and the exist partial condition of the staff sonitary and the deadwood, walls were disting these want backgrounded from a rear of the want basin. Colorabs were adhered to comes of a surprise of the solution of the staff was surprised.	u las in
	Signature of person seen:- Signature of Officer	Revisit
,		□ Yes □ No

FOOD SAFETY ACT 1990 - PREMISES RISK RATING FORM

Food Type	Manufa	cture, repack or	import high risk				40
	Prepare	e more than 20 hi	gh meals per day	/cooking or handli	ng open high risk	food	30
	Prep, c	ook or handle ope	en high risk (< 20	0 meals/day)			10
	Handle	prepacked high r	risk				10
	Wholes	alers and distribu	itors not in above				10
	Manufa	cture or packing	low risk				10
	Filleting	y/salting fish for re	etail sale to final c	onsumer			10
	Retail lo	ow risk or any not	included in above	е			5
Processing	Canning	or other aseptic p	packing of low-act	id foods; Vacuum	and sous-vide pac	king;	20
	Manufac	ture of cook/chill	food, Fermentatio	on of meats; Air dr	ying (dried hams,	biltong, jerky);	
	Freeze	drying; Addition o	of salt and/ or othe	er preserving ager	its; cooking and co	ooling of meat proc	lucts prior to
	service 6	e.g. production of	hams by retailers	s, including butche	rs;		
	Establish	hments that manu	ufacture/prepare/s	serve high risk und	cooked or lightly co	ooked ready to eat	food of 20
	animal o	rigin caterers/ma	anufacturers prod	ucing raw meat di	shes, fish and mea	at Carpaccio, types	
	sashimi,	ceviche, and bur	gers intended to I	be eaten rare or u	ndercooked throug	gh controlled proce	edures
	Any other	er case not includ	ed in above				0
Consumers at	Manufa	cture for national	or international				15
Risk	Serves	substantial numb	er				10
	Serves	local area					5
	Busines	ses supplying les	ss than 20 consur	ners each day			О
Vulnerable	Yes						22
Customers	No						0
Compliance –	Almost	total non complia	nce				25
Hygiene	Genera	l failure – standar	ds low				20
(food hygiene	Some n	on compliance, s	tds falling				15
rating factor)	Some n	on compliance, ir	1 top 50%				10
	High sta	andard complianc	e, minor only				5
	High sta	andard complianc	e, good practice				0
Compliance –	Almost	total non complia	nce				25
Structural	General	l failure – standar	ds low				20
(food hygiene	Some n	on compliance, s	tandard falling				15
rating factor)	Some ri	on compliance, ir	top 50%				10
	"	ındard complianc	•				5
	High sta	andard complianc	e, good practice				0
Management	None						30
System	Poor						20
(Confidence)	Satisfac	tory					10
(food hygiene	Moderat	te					5
rating factor)	Good						0
Significance of	Significa	ant					20
Risk	None						0
					· '	Total risk	crating score
Total score		50+	45 - 50	35 - 40	25 - 30	20	0 – 15
Additional scoring			No individual score > 20	No individual score > 15	No individual score > 10	No individual score > 10	No individual score >
Food hygiene rati	ng	Ó	1	2	3	4	5
Descriptor		Urgent Improvement	Major improvement necessary	Improvement necessary	Generally satisfactory	Good	Very good