

1. Has Barnet Council a ready-made emergency plan, set to go, in the event of an incident occurring in the Barnet Tunnel?
2. Who co-ordinates this and/or which particular emergency services co-ordinate this?
3. How often do these meetings occur?
4. What emergency services are involved in responding to an incident in the Barnet Tunnel?
5. Is Network Rail and/or the Fire Services/Hospital, Medical services been consulted re this plan?
6. Have they been consulted in regards to accessing the tunnel in case of a derailment, fire, explosion and/or toxic chemicals?
7. Do any of these consultations refer to accessing the tunnel?
8. In regards to the Emergency Tunnel Plan Is there a specified route for emergency vehicles on the North side of the tunnel that covers Simmons Close and or Simmons Way, and/or the South side bordered by Weirdale Avenue and Beresford Avenue?
9. What happens if these access routes are blocked?
10. In terms of the Barnet Emergency Tunnel Plan what decision is needed to block or build over an access point?
11. What is the role of Network Rail in this process?
12. Does the emergency tunnel plan reference any trains going through the tunnel containing flammable substances or other chemicals cargos?
13. Has Barnet Council Planning Department knowledge of the Emergency Plan for the Barnet Tunnel? What is their role in this process?
14. If not, is there an explanation for this?
15. If yes, what consideration and weight and value do they give when assessing and analysing the merits and demerits of planning applications for builds over the Barnet Tunnel?
16. Was the Planning department aware that 49 and 51 Beresford Avenue have restricted covenants in place that blocked housing builds?
17. If, not, please provide an explanation?
18. Was the Planning Department responsible for bringing this to the attention of Developers who were intending to build over the Barnet Tunnel at 49 and 51 Beresford Avenue, N20 0AD?
19. If not, please provide an explanation?
20. With regards to 49 and 51 Beresford Avenue and their proposed development of a housing scheme build what responsibility did the Planning department have in regards to the access road footway landowners owners?
21. That is, did they inform, consult or contact the landowners Persimmons that Millen Homes and 49 and 51 Beresford Avenue were claiming they owned Persimmons Property – the access road footway?

22. Please provide an explanation, if the Planning department did not inform Persimmons?
23. If the planning department did inform Millens please provide evidence?
24. If, the Planning department did not inform or consult with Persimmons, the landowners of the access road footway about the claims on their land did they contact the Planning Inspectorate to state this omission?
25. If, the planning department did not inform the Planning Inspectorate, please provide an explanation?
26. When it became clear that Millen Homes and 49 and 51 Beresford were making repeat applications, with little changes and maliciously doing so, and had no access or permission from Network Rail or Persimmons, why did the planners not use the relevant section of the Town Planning and County Act to throw out their application? Please provide an explanation?
27. Does Barnet Council own, lease, or sublease the land over any part of the land over the Barnet Tunnel?
28. If leased, who leased it to Barnet Council?
29. If leased, what were the costs involved, how long is the lease and if properties are sub-leased is there any conditions on the lease such as, rights of way, easements, benefits, light, or privacy?
30. If leased to the Council are there any positive and restrictive covenants involved?
31. If leased, are there covenants – who is the beneficiaries? Who benefits? Who is burdened?
32. What wording is contained within the positive or restricted covenants?
33. If, sub-leased, are the tenants subjected to any positive or restricted covenant?
34. What wording is contained within these positive or restricted covenants?
35. Is there a 2020 planning officers report presented to the Planning Inspectorate regarding 49 and Beresford Avenue, N20 0AD/Millen Homes Developers appeal in November 2020 ?
36. Can I have a copy of this report?
37. Is there a BAPA (Basic Asset Protection Agreement) between Millens Developers/49 and 51 Beresford Avenue, N20 0AD and Network Rail dated 2016 or earlier, in the Planning Department's documents?
38. Can I have a copy?
39. If there is no copy in existence please provide an explanation for this omission?
40. Does the Planning Department have knowledge of two Eruvs installed on the access road and footway between 47 and 49 Beresford Avenue, N20 0AD?
41. What is this knowledge referring to and can I get a copy?
42. Has planning permission been granted for the two Eruvs?

43. If planning permission has been given can the planning department explain how Millens can also be given permission for their build on the same piece of land?
44. Once the planning department were aware of the above Eruvs did they consult with the Jewish Eruv Committee or Synagogue?
45. What actions did the planners take in regards to these Eruvs?
46. Did they correct the Eruv's omission with the Planning Inspectorate?
47. Can I have a copy of this?
48. If, the Planners did not inform the Planning Inspectorate of these omissions please provide an explanation on why they did not?
49. The Planning department informed the Planning Inspectorate in 2020 that Network Rail had been consulted by them. Network Rail found out about this and complained that they had not been consulted. What action did the planning department take to correct this?
50. Did they inform the Planning Inspectorate?
51. If, not, please provide an explanation.
52. Does the Council, the Planning Department and the Planning Committee acknowledge the validity, legality and legal implications of the restricted covenants, on 53, 51, 49, 47, 41 and 37 Beresford Avenue in relation to the proposed Development at 49 and 51 Beresford Avenue and the reserved matters/terms and conditions/access set by the Planning Inspectorate?
53. Does the Council, the Planning Department and the Planning Committee acknowledge the validity, legality and legal implications of the restricted covenants on 48 Weirdale Avenue In relation to the proposed Development at 49 and 51 Beresford Avenue and the reserved matters/terms and conditions/access set by the Planning Inspectorate?
54. Does the Council, the Planning Department and the Planning Committee acknowledge the validity, legality and legal implications of Persimmons, the landowner's denial of consent to use the access road footway between 47 and 49 Beresford Avenue in relation to the proposed Development at 49 and 51

Beresford Avenue and the reserved matters/terms and conditions/access set by the Planning Inspectorate?

55. Does the Council, the Planning Department and the Planning Committee acknowledge the validity, legality and legal implications of my refusal (I live at 47 Beresford Avenue) to permit my front and back garden fences being demolished and replaced by an acoustic fence and that my front fence is obscuring the sight lines of drivers entering and exiting the access road footway, in relation to the proposed Development at 49, and 51 Beresford Avenue and the reserved matters/terms and conditions/access/ safety standards set by the Planning Inspectorate?
56. Has it been confirmed that Millen Homes, 49 and 51 Beresford Ave and the Planning Inspectorate have duly been informed re the above
57. What code of conduct is applicable to the Planning Department Employees?
58. Have all the co-ordinating caseworker planners and managers responsible for 49 and 51 Beresford Avenue, N20 OAD/Millens Developers have been or are Barnet Employees?
59. Can I have a copy of all internal emails and miscellaneous notes, memos and meta data relating to me/identifying me between all planning department employees, agents and their managers re: the planning application on 49 and 51 Beresford Ave, N20 OAD and Millen Homes.